

This document only includes an excerpt of the corresponding thesis or dissertation. To request a digital scan of the full text, please contact the Ruth Lilly Medical Library's Interlibrary Loan Department (rlmlill@iu.edu).

COMPARATIVE PHARMACOLOGIC AND TOXICOLOGIC EFFECTS
OF d-, l-, AND RACEMIC PROPYLENE GLYCOL

By

DANIEL JOSEPH BROWN, B. S.

Submitted to the faculty of the Graduate School
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Toxicology
from the Department of Pharmacology,
Indiana University

March, 1968

Acceptance of this dissertation has been approved by:

Francis W. Hughes, Ph.D. Deceased March 5, 1968

James Ashmore, Ph.D.

James Ashmore

Robert E. Forney, Ph.D.

Robert E. Forney

Rolla N. Harger, Ph.D.

Rolla N. Harger

William C. Clark, Ph.D.

William C. Clark

Alan M. Goldberg, Ph.D.

Alan M. Goldberg

SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE

Dr. Francis W. Hughes	Advisor and Chairman
Dr. James Ashmore	Pharmacology
Dr. Robert E. Forney	Toxicology
Dr. Rolla N. Harger	Toxicology
Dr. William C. Clark	Pharmacology

Major Subject: Toxicology

Minor Subjects: Pharmacology and Life Sciences

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	IV
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
LIST OF TABLES	XI
 <u>CHAPTER I</u>	
Historical Review	1
Production Methods	1
Physical and Chemical Properties	2
Analytical Procedures	3
Toxicity	4
Biochemistry of Propylene Glycol	16
I. Absorption	16
II. Metabolism	17
III. Excretion	22
Pharmacological Effects of Propylene Glycol	24
Industrial and Pharmaceutical Applications	30
 <u>CHAPTER II</u>	
Introduction	33
Statement of Purpose	35

CHAPTER III

Materials and Experimental Procedures	36
I. Sleeping Time Determination in Mice	36
Effect of Different Concentrations of Racemic Propylene Glycol on Hypnosis Induced by Ethanol or Hexobarbital	37
Comparative Effects of d-, l-, and Racemic Propylene Glycol on the Hypnotic Action of Ethanol or Hexobarbital	38
II. Anticonvulsant Activity in Mice	39
Effect of d-, l-, and Racemic Propylene Glycol on Electrically Stimulated Convulsions	39
III. Motor Activity in Mice	40
Effect of d-, l-, and Racemic Propylene Glycol Alone or in Combination with Ethanol on the Hyperactivity Induced by d-Amphetamine	41
IV. Avoidance Behavior in Rats	43
Effect of Racemic Propylene Glycol, d-Propylene Glycol, and l-Propylene Glycol on Avoidance Behavior in Rats	43
V. Rate of Disappearance in Mice	46
Effect of d-, l-, and Racemic Propylene Glycol on the Disappearance Rate of Ethanol, and the Effect of Ethanol on the Disappearance Rate of d-, l-, and Racemic Propylene Glycol	47
VI. Tissue Distribution in Rats	49
Tissue Distribution of d-, l-, and Racemic Propylene Glycol Administered Alone or in Combination with Ethanol	50
Analytical Methods	52

CHAPTER IV

Results	62
I. Sleeping Time Determination in Mice	62
Effect of Different Concentrations of Racemic Propylene Glycol on Hypnosis Induced by Ethanol or Hexobarbital	62
Comparative Effects of d-, l-, and Racemic Propylene Glycol on the Hypnotic Action of Ethanol or Hexobarbital	64
II. Anticonvulsant Activity in Mice	66
Effect of d-, l-, and Racemic Propylene Glycol on Electrically Stimulated Convulsions	66
III. Motor Activity in Mice	68
Effect of d-, l-, and Racemic Propylene Glycol Alone or in Combination with Ethanol on the Hyperactivity Induced by d-Amphetamine	68
IV. Avoidance Behavior in Rats	72
Effect of Racemic Propylene Glycol, d-Propylene Glycol, and l-Propylene Glycol on Avoidance Behavior in Rats	72
V. Rate of Disappearance in Mice	81
Effect of d-, l-, and Racemic Propylene Glycol on the Disappearance Rate of Ethanol, and the Effect of Ethanol on the Disappearance Rate of d-, l-, and Racemic Propylene Glycol	81
VI. Tissue Distribution in Rats	88
Tissue Distribution of d-, l-, and Racemic Propylene Glycol Administered Alone or in Combination with Ethanol	88

	PAGE
<u>CHAPTER V</u>	
Discussion	101
<u>CHAPTER VI</u>	
Summary	115
BIBLIOGRAPHY	119
CURRICULUM VITAE	XII

CHAPTER VI

SUMMARY

The d-, and l-optical isomers of propylene glycol have been investigated to determine if differences in pharmacologic or toxicologic activity exist. It has been found that l-propylene glycol produces a greater degree of central nervous system depression in mice and rats than does the d-optical isomer.

Evidence for this difference in depressant activity was provided when the results of sleeping time, anticonvulsant, and avoidance behavior studies were correlated with the disappearance rate and tissue distribution determinations of the individual isomers. The l-optical isomer of propylene glycol significantly increased the hypnosis induced by hexobarbital, while d-propylene glycol had no apparent effect. The protection afforded by l-propylene glycol against convulsive seizures was also much greater than that of d-propylene glycol, when mice were electrically stimulated. Finally, l-propylene glycol effected greater changes in rat behavior, indicative of central nervous system depression, than did d-propylene glycol. An explanation for the observed differences in depressant action was provided by the disappearance rate and tissue distribution studies, which revealed that the

d-optical isomer of propylene glycol disappeared from mice and rats much faster than l-propylene glycol, thus providing higher tissue levels of l-propylene glycol for longer periods of time.

In addition to differences in the level of depression induced by d-, and l-propylene glycol, a definite synergism with ethanol has been shown in sleeping time and activity studies. This synergistic ethanol-propylene glycol interaction can possibly be explained by the difference in the disappearance rate and in the tissue distribution of the drugs. A mutual metabolic inhibition resulted in increased tissue concentrations of both drugs, and a degree of central nervous system depression was attained, which would not have occurred from the addition of the depressant properties of both drugs.

A further indication of pharmacological differences among d-, and l-propylene glycol was also provided by the synergism with ethanol. The tissue distribution study demonstrated that in rats, ethanol will depress the disappearance of d-propylene glycol to a greater extent than the l-optical isomer, and d-propylene glycol will in turn, also reduce the disappearance rate of ethanol more than will the l-optical isomer. This evidence suggests an enzymatic pathway common to both ethanol and propylene glycol in rats which, moreover,

has a definite preference for d-propylene glycol rather than l-propylene glycol.

In contrast, the disappearance rate study in mice did not indicate that one optical isomer of propylene glycol was influencing the disappearance of ethanol to a different degree than the other, nor that ethanol affected the disappearance of the d-, and l-optical isomers differently. However, the same mutual inhibition of metabolism was evident, indicating a common enzymatic pathway. Since substantially larger quantities of ethanol were presented to the mice (4 g/kg) than to the rats (2 g/kg), a masking effect could have occurred. In addition, when the disappearance rate of racemic propylene glycol was compared to each of the optical isomers, it appears that the d-propylene glycol is depleted almost completely before the l-optical isomer is attacked, without any indication of competition between the two forms.

In the individual rat tissues concentrations of the optical isomers of propylene glycol and ethanol, alone or in combination, indicate that the kidney contained these drugs to almost the same degree as the blood, while the liver usually had lower concentrations. There is also some indication that d-propylene glycol, especially when in combination with ethanol, was more favorably received by this organ.

The proposed use of propylene glycol, particularly the d-optical isomer, as an ataractic agent in veterinary medicine (27) appears to be unwarranted. The data presented herein indicates that in the species tested, the suggested dosage is far too low to effect tranquilization, while d-propylene glycol exhibits central nervous system depression to a much lesser degree than does l-propylene glycol. However, without doubt, other animal species may react differently to these drugs, and further investigation is required in order to establish any true pharmacologic function that is not proximal to the toxicologic function.