

This document only includes an excerpt of the corresponding thesis or dissertation. To request a digital scan of the full text, please contact the Ruth Lilly Medical Library's Interlibrary Loan Department (rlmlill@iu.edu).

Genetic Studies and Linkage Analysis of Specific Dyslexia:

Evaluation of Inheritance in Kindreds

Selected for Apparent Autosomal Dominant Transmission

by

Shelley D. Smith*

A Thesis

submitted to the faculty of the Graduate School

in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree

Doctor of Philosophy

in the Department of Medical Genetics,

Indiana University

April, 1978

*Supported in part by USPHS Training Grant DE 119,
Indiana University Human Genetics Center, PHS GM 21054,
and University of Colorado, PHS HD 08315

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Index of Tables

Index of figures

I. Hypotheses.....	1
II. Review of the Literature	
A. Specific Developmental Dyslexia	
1. Definitions.....	2
2. Population Frequencies.....	5
3. Familial and Genetic Evidence.....	7
4. Subclassification Systems.....	9
5. Investigations of Mechanisms *	
a. Fundamental abilities	
1) Visual perception.....	13
2) Cross-modal input.....	15
3) Sequential memory/verbal labelling.....	17
b. Laterality.....	23
1) Dichotic listening.....	24
2) Visual half-fields.....	26
3) Other tests of hemispheric function.....	27
4) Summary.....	28
c. Arousal.....	32
6. Model Building with Genetic Hypotheses.....	33
B. Chromosomal Heteromorphism	
1. Unbanded Material.....	36
2. Fluorescent Banding.....	41
3. Centromeric Banding.....	45
4. Reverse Banding.....	51
5. Linkage Analysis with Chromosomal Heteromorphisms.....	55
III Materials and Methods	
A. Selection of Population	
1. Ascertainment.....	59
2. Screening	
a. History.....	59
b. Test Battery.....	61
c. Classification.....	64
B. Genotyping	
1. Blood Groups and Enzyme Markers.....	66
2. Chromosomal Variants	
a. Culturing and slide preparation.....	67
b. Banding techniques	
1) Q-banding.....	70
2) R-banding.....	70
3) C-banding.....	71
c. Scoring of variants	
1) Q-banding.....	71
2) R-banding.....	72
3) C-banding.....	72
d. Marker designation.....	72

C. Analyses	
1. Test Score Data	
a. Discriminant Analysis.....	73
b. Test score comparison between groups.....	75
2. Segregation Analysis	
a. Dyslexia.....	75
b. Chromosomal variants.....	78
3. Linkage Analysis.....	78
IV. Results	
A. Ascertainment.....	81
B. Case Histories and Test Results	
1. Family 371.....	81
2. Family 491.....	88
3. Family 372.....	91
4. Family 576.....	94
5. Family 484.....	99
6. Family 375.....	100
7. Family 432.....	101
8. Family 431.....	105
C. Distributions of Test Scores.....	106
D. Discriminant Analysis.....	123
E. Pedigree Analysis with Regard to Dyslexia	
1. Sex Ratio.....	132
2. Segregation Analysis.....	133
F. Chromosome Variant Results	
1. Transmission of Variants.....	139
2. Segregation of Q- and C-banding Variants.....	141
3. Frequencies of Variants.....	141
G. Linkage Analysis.....	141
V. Discussion	
A. Introduction.....	149
B. Dyslexia	
1. Ascertainment.....	149
2. Diagnosis.....	151
a. Diagnosis based on grade-level scores	
1) Children.....	152
2) Adults.....	153
b. Discriminant analysis.....	154
c. Order of test achievement.....	156
d. Evaluation of the test battery.....	158
3. Profile of Dyslexia	
a. Early childhood development.....	160
b. Early learning problems.....	161
c. Subsequent development of "eidetic" and "phonetic" abilities.....	162
4. Hemispheric Model.....	163
5. Sex Ratio.....	164
6. Segregation Analysis.....	167
7. Diagnosis by Discriminant Analysis Alone.....	173
C. Chromosomal Data	
1. Evaluation of Techniques for Detection and Recording of Variants.....	175
2. Frequencies of Q- and C-banding Variants.....	177
3. Segregation of Q- and C-banding Variants.....	178

D. Linkage Analysis	
1. Results.....	178
2. Significance.....	180
E. Future Research.....	183
IV. Conclusions.....	188
VII. Summary.....	190
VIII Bibliography.....	192
IX Appendix.....	207

VIII. SUMMARY

Specific reading disability is defined as a serious difficulty in learning to read and spell despite adequate intelligence and opportunity, and without demonstrable neurological, sensory, or emotional etiology. This study was designed to investigate the existence of a hereditary form of specific reading disability, or developmental dyslexia, through the use of genetic studies and linkage analysis. The diagnostic effectiveness of a screening battery of tests was evaluated by discriminant analysis, and the resulting classifications were also subjected to segregation and linkage analysis.

Initial diagnosis of dyslexia was based on school and medical history and testing. In school-age children, at least a two-year deficit in reading compared to non-reading abilities was required. Similar criteria were used for adults, with emphasis upon a history of early and specific reading disability. All individuals were at least of average intelligence ($IQ \geq 90$).

Selection of families was designed to provide the optimal population for linkage analysis. Eight Caucasian kindreds including sixteen families were ascertained, and all had at least a three-generation history of dyslexia. In all but two kindreds three generations and/or related sibships were studied. Seventy-three individuals could be tested, and history and school records were utilized for another eleven. Fifty-three individuals were found to be affected.

The pedigrees suggested autosomal dominant inheritance of dyslexia; however, the segregation ratio of 0.76 (32/42) was significantly higher than the 0.50 expected for an autosomal dominant trait ($\chi^2_1 = 11.52$, $p < 0.001$). The sex ratio of 1.83:1 among affecteds (33 males to 18 females) was also significant ($\chi^2_1 = 4.41$, $p < 0.05$). This was partially attributed to the

ascertainment design, favoring kindreds with several multiplex sibships to increase the chances of detecting a major gene effect. Bias towards affecteds was especially notable in the selection of related families in kindreds in which the entire parental sibship and their families could not be tested. Ascertainment was also biased in favor of males, as they tended to be more severely affected. All probands were male, and analysis of variance of discriminant scores of 28 affected males and 16 affected females showed a significant difference in test performance ($F=10.45$, $p<0.005$).

Discriminant analysis was based only on tests contributing significantly to the classification (two tests for children, three for adults). All 31 children but only 31 of 42 adults were classified in agreement with the initial diagnosis. Segregation analysis using the discriminant function diagnoses suggested polygenic or recessive inheritance in three families in which affected mothers were re-classified as normal; alternatively, decreased severity in females could have contributed to their misclassification.

Linkage analysis, performed between dyslexia and 23 genotyping markers as well as Q-, C-, and R-banding chromosomal variants, resulted in a lod score of 2.359 at $\theta=0.1$ for dyslexia and chromosome 15 short-arm heteromorphisms when the initial diagnoses were used. No other lod was greater than one. This is somewhat suggestive of linkage and, if confirmed, would best be explained by the existence of a major gene effect upon reading ability; however, because of the possible variability in the phenotyping and observed segregation distortion for these particular markers, a lod score greater than the customary 3.0 should be required to establish the linkage relationship. In addition, linkage analysis using the diagnoses from the discriminant function produced a lod score of 1.836 at $\theta=0.0$ for dyslexia and chromosome 15p, so the decision as to whether dyslexia is an autosomal dominant trait must await further investigation into this relationship.