AN ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION FOR THE EXPECTED NUMBER OF REAL ZEROS OF KAC-GERONIMUS POLYNOMIALS

HANAN ALJUBRAN AND MAXIM L. YATTSELEV

ABSTRACT. Let $\{\varphi_i(z;\alpha)\}_{i=0}^\infty$, corresponding to $\alpha\in(-1,1)$, be orthonormal Geronimus polynomials. We study asymptotic behavior of the expected number of real zeros, say $\mathbb{E}_n(\alpha)$, of random polynomials

$$P_n(z):=\sum_{i=0}^n \eta_i\varphi_i(z;\alpha),$$

where $\eta_0,\dots,\eta_n$ are i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variables. When $\alpha=0$, $\varphi_i(z;0)=z^i$ and $P_n(z)$ are called Kac polynomials. In this case it was shown by Wilkins that $\mathbb{E}_n(0)$ admits an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$\mathbb{E}_n(0)\sim\frac{2}{\pi}\log(n+1)+\sum_{p=0}^\infty A_p(n+1)^{-p}$$

(Kac himself obtained the leading term of this expansion). In this work we obtain a similar expansion of $\mathbb{E}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha\neq0$. As it turns out, the leading term of the asymptotics in this case is $(1/\pi)\log(n+1)$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Random polynomials is a relatively old subject with initial contributions by Bloch and Pólya, Littlewood and Offord, Erdös and Offord, Arnold, Kac, and many other authors. An interested reader can find a well referenced early history of the subject in the books by Bharucha-Reid and Sambandham [3], and by Farahmand [12]. In [15], Kac considered random polynomials

$$P_n(z)=\eta_0+\eta_1z+\cdots+\eta_nz^n, \tag{1}$$

where η_i are i.i.d. standard real Gaussian random variables. He has shown that $\mathbb{E}_n(\Omega)$, the expected number of zeros of $P_n(z)$ on a measurable set $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}$, is equal to

$$\mathbb{E}_n(\Omega)=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_\Omega\frac{\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{|1-x^2|}\mathrm{d}x,\quad h_{n+1}(x)=\frac{(n+1)x^n(1-x^2)}{1-x^{2n+2}}, \tag{2}$$

from which he proceeded with an asymptotic formula

$$\mathbb{E}_n(\mathbb{R})=\frac{2+o(1)}{\pi}\log(n+1)\quad\text{as}\quad n\to\infty. \tag{3}$$

It was shown by Wilkins [25], after some intermediate results cited in [25], that there exist constants A_p, $p\geq0$, such that $\mathbb{E}_n(\mathbb{R})$ has an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$\mathbb{E}_n(\mathbb{R})\sim\frac{2}{\pi}\log(n+1)+\sum_{p=0}^\infty A_p(n+1)^{-p}, \tag{4}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C15, 26C10, 30B20.

Key words and phrases. Random polynomials, expected number of real zeros, asymptotic expansion, Geronimus polynomials.

The work of the first author was done towards completion of her Ph.D. degree at Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis under the direction of the second author. The research of the second author was supported in part by grants from the Simons Foundation, CGM-354538 and CGM-706591. Both authors are grateful for hospitality of American Institute of Mathematics where a part of this project was carried out during workshop "Zeros of random polynomials".

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Aljubran H, Yattselev ML. An asymptotic expansion for the expected number of real zeros of Kac–Geronimus polynomials. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics. 2021;51(4):1171-1188. doi:10.1216/rmj-2021-51-1171

where

$$A_0 = \frac{2}{\pi}\left(\log 2 + \int_0^1 \frac{f(t)}{t}\mathrm{d}t + \int_1^\infty \frac{f(t)-1}{t}\mathrm{d}t\right), \quad f(t) := \sqrt{1-\left(\frac{2t}{e^t-e^{-t}}\right)^2}. \tag{5}$$

Many subsequent results on random polynomials are concerned with relaxing the conditions on random coefficients, see, for example, [13, 18, 10], or the behavior of the counting measures of zeros of random polynomials as in [21, 6, 14, 5, 19, 2, 20, 17, 4, 9]. Our primary interest lies in studying the expected number of real zeros when the basis is a family of orthogonal polynomials in the spirit of [7, 8, 26, 16]. More precisely, Edelman and Kostlan [11] considered random functions of the form

$$P_n(z) = \eta_0 f_0(z) + \eta_1 f_1(z) + \cdots + \eta_n f_n(z), \tag{6}$$

where η_i are certain real random variables and $f_m(z)$ are arbitrary functions on the complex plane that are real on the real line. Using a beautiful and simple geometrical argument they have shown[1] that if $\eta_0,\dots,\eta_n$ are elements of a multivariate real normal distribution with mean zero and covariance matrix C and the functions $f_m(z)$ are differentiable on the real line, then

$$\mathbb{E}_n(\Omega) = \int_\Omega \rho_n(x)\mathrm{d}x, \quad \rho_n(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s\partial t}\log\left(v(s)^\mathsf{T} C v(t)\right)\Big|_{t=s=x},$$

where $v(x) = \big(f_0(x),\dots,f_n(x)\big)^\mathsf{T}$. If random variables η_i in (6) are again i.i.d. standard real Gaussians, then the above expression for $\rho_n(x)$ specializes to

$$\rho_n(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{K_{n+1}(x,x)K_{n+1}^{(1,1)}(x,x) - K_{n+1}^{(1,0)}(x,x)^2}}{K_{n+1}(x,x)} \tag{7}$$

(this formula was also independently rederived in [16, Proposition 1.1] and [24, Theorem 1.2]), where $K_{n+1}(x,y) := K_{n+1}^{(0,0)}(x,y)$ and

$$K_{n+1}^{(l,k)}(x,y) := \sum_{i=0}^n f_i^{(l)}(x)\overline{f_i^{(k)}(y)}.$$

We are interested in the case where the spanning functions in (6) are taken to be orthonormal polynomials on the unit circle. Recall [23, Theorem 1.5.2] that monic orthogonal polynomials, say $\Phi_m(z)$, satisfy the recurrence relations

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{m+1}(z) = z\Phi_m(z) - \overline{\alpha}_m\Phi_m^*(z), \\ \Phi_{m+1}^*(z) = \Phi_m^*(z) - \alpha_m z\Phi_m(z), \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where the recurrence coefficients $\{\alpha_m\}$ belong to the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ and are uniquely determined by the measure of orthogonality. Furthermore, the orthonormal polynomials, which we denote by $\varphi_m(z)$, are given by

$$\varphi_m(z) = \rho_m^{-1}\Phi_m(z), \quad \rho_m := \prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\sqrt{1-|\alpha_i|^2}. \tag{9}$$

Since the functions $f_m(z)$ in (6) must be real-valued on the real line, we are only interested in real recurrence coefficients, i.e., $\alpha_m \in (-1,1)$ for all $m\geq 0$. It is known [27] that when $m^p|\alpha_m|$ is a bounded sequence for some $p>3/2$, estimate (3) remains valid for random polynomials (6) with $f_m(z) = \varphi_m(z)$ given by (8)–(9). Moreover, if the recurrence coefficients decay exponentially, it was shown by the authors in [1] that the expected number of real zeros has a full asymptotic expansion of the form (4) with the constant term still given by (5).

[1] In fact, Edelman and Kostlan derive an expression for the real intensity function for any random vector $(\eta_0,\dots,\eta_n)$ in terms of its joint probability density function and of $v(x)$.

The previous works suggest that the constant $\pi/2$ in front of $\log(n+1)$ in (3) and (4) might change if the recurrence coefficients decay slowly or do not decay at all. In this note we support this guess by considering random polynomials of the form

$$P_n(z) = \eta_0\varphi_0(z;\alpha) + \eta_1\varphi_1(z;\alpha) + \cdots + \eta_n\varphi_n(z;\alpha), \tag{10}$$

which we call Kac-Geronimus polynomials, where η_i are i.i.d. standard real Gaussian random variables and

$$\varphi_m(z;\alpha) = \rho^{-m}\Phi_m(z;\alpha), \quad \rho := \sqrt{1-\alpha^2}, \tag{11}$$

are real Geronimus polynomials, that is, polynomials $\Phi_m(z;\alpha)$ satisfying (8) with $\alpha_m = \alpha \in (-1,1)$ for all $m \geq 0$. The measure of orthogonality for general Geronimus polynomials, i.e., $\alpha_m = \alpha \in \mathbb{D}$, is explicitly known, see [23, Section 1.6], and is supported by

$$\Delta_\alpha := \left\{ e^{i\theta} : 2\arcsin(|\alpha|) \leq \theta \leq 2\pi - 2\arcsin(|\alpha|) \right\}$$

with a possible pure mass point, which is present if and only if $|\alpha + 1/2| > 1/2$. When $\alpha = 0$, one can clearly see from (8) that $\Phi_m(z;0) = z^m$ and therefore Kac-Geronimus polynomials (10) specialize to Kac polynomials (1).

For random polynomials (6) with $f_m(z) = \varphi_m(z)$ given by (8)–(9) it can be easily shown using the Christoffel-Darboux formula, see [27, Theorem 1.1], that (7) can be rewritten as

$$\rho_n(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{|1-x^2|}, \quad h_{n+1}(x) := \frac{(1-x^2)b_{n+1}'(x)}{1-b_{n+1}^2(x)}, \quad b_{n+1}(x) := \frac{\varphi_{n+1}(x)}{\varphi_{n+1}^*(x)}, \tag{12}$$

where $\varphi_{n+1}^*(x) := x^{n+1}\varphi_{n+1}(1/x)$ is the reciprocal polynomial (there is no need for conjugation as all the coefficients are real).

Theorem 1. *Let $P_n(z)$ be given by (10)–(11) with $\alpha \in (-1,0)\cup(0,1)$. Define*

$$r(z) := \sqrt{(z-1)^2 + 4\alpha^2 z} \tag{13}$$

to be the branch holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\Delta_\alpha$ such that $r(z)/z \to 1$ as $z \to \infty$. Then it holds that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} b_{n+1}(z) = \frac{-2\alpha}{r(z)+1-z} \tag{14}$$

locally uniformly in $\mathbb{D}$. Moreover, it holds that

$$h_{n+1}(x) = -\alpha\frac{x+1}{r(x)}\left(1 + \mathscr{O}\left((1-x)^2(n+1)e^{-\sqrt{n+1}/\rho}\right)\right), \tag{15}$$

for $-1+(n+1)^{-1/2} \leq x \leq 1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}$, where $\mathscr{O}(\cdot)$ does not depend on n and $\delta_\alpha := 0$ when $\alpha < 0$ while $\delta_\alpha := ((1-\alpha)/(1+\alpha))^{1/3}$ when $\alpha > 0$.

Observe that $b_{n+1}(1) = h_{n+1}(1) = 1$ for all n and these equalities remain true in the limit when $\alpha < 0$. However, $b(1) = h(1) = -1$ when $\alpha > 0$. This change is due to a single zero of $\varphi_m(z;\alpha)$ that approaches 1 as $m \to \infty$ for every fixed $\alpha > 0$, see Figure 1, and is the reason we need to introduce δ_α in (15).

Let $\mathbb{E}_n(\alpha)$ be the expected number of real zeros of random polynomials (10)–(11). It is easy to see that $b_m(1/x) = 1/b_m(x)$ and therefore $b_m'(1/x) = x^2 b_m'(x)/b_m^2(x)$. Thus, we get from (12) that $h_m(1/x) = h_m(x)$ and therefore

$$\mathbb{E}_n(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{1-x^2}\mathrm{d}x. \tag{16}$$

Using this formula we can prove the following theorem that constitutes the main result of this work.



(a) $b_4(x)$ and $b(x)$ (b) $h_4(x)$ and $h(x)$

FIGURE 1. The graphs of $b_4(x)$ and $b(x)$ (panel (a)) and $h_4(x)$ and $h(x)$ (panel (b)) on $[-1,1]$ for $\alpha=\sqrt{3}/2$.

Theorem 2. *Let $P_n(z)$ be random polynomials given by (10)–(11) with $\alpha\in(-1,0)\cup(0,1)$. Then there exist constants $A_p^{\alpha,(-1)^n}$, $p\geq 1$, that do depend on the parity of n, such that $\mathbb{E}_n(\alpha)$, the expected number of real zeros of $P_n(z)$, satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E}_n(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\pi}\log(n+1)+A_0^\alpha+\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}A_p^{\alpha,(-1)^n}(n+1)^{-p}+\mathcal{O}_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right)$$

for any integer N, all n large, where $\mathcal{O}_N(\cdot)$ depends on N, but is independent of n, and

$$A_0^\alpha=\frac{A_0+1+\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)}{2}+\frac{1}{\pi}\log\frac{2}{|\alpha|}$$

with A_0 given by (5) and $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha):=\alpha/|\alpha|$.

Notice that $A_0^{|\alpha|}=A_0^{-|\alpha|}+1$. This is due to the fact that polynomials $\varphi_m(x;|\alpha|)$ have a zero exponentially close to 1 while polynomials $\varphi_m(x;-|\alpha|)$ do not have such a zero.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 1. *It holds that*

$$b_{n+1}(z)=\frac{\phi(z)-2(1+\alpha)-\varepsilon^{n+1}(z)(\psi(z)-2(1+\alpha))}{\phi(z)-2(1+\alpha)z-\varepsilon^{n+1}(z)(\psi(z)-2(1+\alpha)z)} \tag{17}$$

where $\phi(z):=z+1+r(z)$, $\psi(z):=z+1-r(z)$, $\varepsilon(z):=\psi(z)/\phi(z)$, and $r(z)$ was defined in (13). In particular, (14) takes place.

Proof. Let $U_m(y)$ be the degree m Chebyshëv polynomial of the second kind, that is,

$$U_m(y)=\frac{\left(y+\sqrt{y^2-1}\right)^{m+1}-\left(y-\sqrt{y^2-1}\right)^{m+1}}{2\sqrt{y^2-1}},$$

where for definiteness we take the branch $\sqrt{y^2-1}=y+\mathcal{O}(1)$ as $y\to\infty$ with the cut along $[-1,1]$. It has been shown in [22, Theorem 3.1] that

$$\begin{cases}\varphi_m(z;\alpha)=z^{m/2}\left(U_m\left(\dfrac{z+1}{2\rho\sqrt{z}}\right)-\dfrac{1+\overline{\alpha}}{\rho\sqrt{z}}U_{m-1}\left(\dfrac{z+1}{2\rho\sqrt{z}}\right)\right),\\ \varphi_m^*(z;\alpha)=z^{m/2}\left(U_m\left(\dfrac{z+1}{2\rho\sqrt{z}}\right)-\dfrac{\sqrt{z}(1+\alpha)}{\rho}U_{m-1}\left(\dfrac{z+1}{2\rho\sqrt{z}}\right)\right),\end{cases} \tag{18}$$

where $U_{-1}(y)\equiv 0$ and we take the branch $\sqrt{z}$ that is positive for positive reals (of course, in our case $\overline{\alpha}=\alpha$). Observe that the map

$$y(z)=(z+1)/(2\rho\sqrt{z})$$

takes $\mathbb{D}$ into $\{\mathrm{Re}(z)>0\}\setminus[0,1/\rho]$, the right half-plane with the real segment $[0,1/\rho]$ removed, and its boundary values on Δ_α cover the real interval $[0,1]$ twice. Therefore,

$$\sqrt{y(z)^2-1}=r(z)/(2\rho\sqrt{z}),\quad z\in\mathbb{D}.$$

In particular, it follows from (18) that (17) holds. Observe that

$$|\varepsilon(z)|=\left|\frac{y-\sqrt{y^2-1}}{y+\sqrt{y^2-1}}\right|=\left|y+\sqrt{y^2-1}\right|^{-2}<1 \tag{19}$$

for $|z|<1$. Hence, $b_{n+1}(z)$ converges pointwise and therefore locally uniformly ($|b_{n+1}(z)|<1$ for $z\in\mathbb{D}$) to

$$\frac{z-(1+2\alpha)+r(z)}{1-(1+2\alpha)z+r(z)}=\frac{z-(1+2\alpha)+r(z)}{1-(1+2\alpha)z+r(z)}\frac{z-(1+2\alpha)-r(z)}{z-(1+2\alpha)-r(z)}=\frac{-2\alpha}{r(z)+1-z}. \qquad \square$$

Lemma 2. *Let $h(x):=-\alpha(x+1)/r(x)$. It holds that*

$$h_{n+1}(x)=h(x)\left(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)\frac{\frac{n+1}{\alpha}\frac{(1-x)^2}{x}r(x)+2R(x)(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x))}{(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x))(S(x)+R(x)\varepsilon^{n+1}(x))}\right), \tag{20}$$

where $R(x):=r(x)+\alpha(1+x)$ and $S(x):=r(x)-\alpha(1+x)$.

Proof. It follows from (17) that

$$b_{n+1}(x)=1-\lambda\frac{(1-x)(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x))}{D(x)},$$

where $\lambda:=2(1+\alpha)$ and $D(x):=\phi(x)-\lambda x-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)(\psi(x)-\lambda x)$. It can be readily checked that

$$1-b_{n+1}^2(x)=2\lambda\frac{(1-x)(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x))(S(x)+R(x)\varepsilon^{n+1}(x))}{D^2(x)}.$$

Observe that

$$D'(x)=\phi'(x)-\lambda-(n+1)\varepsilon^n(x)\varepsilon'(x)(\psi(x)-\lambda x)-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)(\psi'(x)-\lambda).$$

It further holds that

$$\begin{aligned} b'_{n+1}(x) &= \lambda\frac{D(x)(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)+(n+1)(1-x)\varepsilon^n(x)\varepsilon'(x))+D'(x)(1-x)(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x))}{D^2(x)} \\ &=: \lambda\frac{N_1(x)+(n+1)(1-x)\varepsilon^n(x)\varepsilon'(x)N_2(x)+N_3(x)\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)+N_4(x)\varepsilon^{2(n+1)}(x)}{D^2(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $N_3(x),N_4(x)$ do not contain terms with $\varepsilon'(x)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(x) &= \phi(x)-\lambda x+(1-x)(\phi'(x)-\lambda)=-2\alpha+r(x)+r'(x)(1-x) \\ &= -2\alpha+2\alpha^2(1+x)/r(x)=-2\alpha S(x)/r(x). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$N_2(x)=D(x)-(\psi(x)-\lambda x)(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x))=2r(x)=R(x)+S(x).$$

It also holds that

$$N_3(x)=-(\phi(x)-\lambda x)-(\psi(x)-\lambda x)-(1-x)(\psi'(x)-\lambda+\phi'(x)-\lambda)=4\alpha.$$

Finally, similarly to $N_1(x)$, we have that

$$N_4(x)=\psi(x)-\lambda x+(1-x)(\psi'(x)-\lambda)=-2\alpha\big(R(x)/r(x)\big).$$

Since

$$\varepsilon'(x)=\big((1-x)/x\big)\big(\varepsilon(x)/r(x)\big), \tag{21}$$

it follows from (12) that

$$h_{n+1}(x) = h(x)\frac{(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x))(S(x)-R(x)\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)) - \frac{n+1}{\alpha}\frac{(1-x)^2}{x}r(x)\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)}{(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x))(S(x)+R(x)\varepsilon^{n+1}(x))}$$

from which the desired claim easily follows. □

Lemma 3. *Formula* (15) *takes place.*

Proof. It can be readily checked that the function $|y+\sqrt{y^2-1}|$ is an increasing function of t for $y=t$, $t\in[1,\infty)$ and $y=\pm \mathrm{i}t$, $t\in[0,\infty)$. Since $\varepsilon(1)=(1-|\alpha|)/(1+|\alpha|)$, it therefore holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{x\in[-1+(n+1)^{-1/2},1]}|\varepsilon(x)|^n &= \left|\varepsilon(-1+(n+1)^{-1/2})\right|^n \\ &= \left(1-(n+1)^{-1/2}/\rho+\mathscr{O}\left((n+1)^{-1}\right)\right)^n \leq C_1 e^{-\sqrt{n+1}/\rho} \qquad (22)\end{aligned}$$

for some absolute constant $C_1>0$.

Assume that $\alpha<0$. Then $|S(x)|\geq r(x)\geq 2|\alpha|\rho$ for $x\in[-1,1]$. Also, since $|h(x)|$ is an increasing function on $[-1,1]$, we have that $|h(x)|\leq 1$ for $x\in[-1,1]$. Thus, we get from (20) and (22) that

$$\begin{aligned}|h_{n+1}(x)-h(x)| &\leq C_2(n+1)e^{-\sqrt{n+1}/\rho}\left((1-x)^2+|R(x)|\right) \\ &\leq C_3(1-x)^2(n+1)e^{-\sqrt{n+1}/\rho} \qquad (23)\end{aligned}$$

for some absolute constants C_2,C_3, where one needs to observe that $\varepsilon(0)=0$ and

$$S(x)R(x)=\rho^2(1-x)^2. \qquad (24)$$

This proves the lemma in the case $\alpha<0$.

Suppose that $\alpha>0$. It is quite easy to see that estimate (23) remains valid on $[-1+(n+1)^{-1/2},0]$. Observe also that $\varepsilon(x)>0$ and is increasing for $x\in(0,1]$, see (21), and $0<R(x)<4$ on $[-1,1]$. Then by using (24) again, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x))(S(x)+R(x)\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)) &\geq S(x)-R(x)\varepsilon^{2(n+1)}(x) \\ &\geq (\rho^2/4)(1-x)^2-4\varepsilon^{2(n+1)}(1)\end{aligned}$$

for $x\in[0,1]$. Notice $\delta_\alpha=\varepsilon^{1/3}(1)$. Then

$$(\rho^2/4)(1-x)^2-4\varepsilon^{2(n+1)}(1)>(\rho^2/8)\delta_\alpha^{2(n+1)}$$

for $x\in\left[0,1-\delta_\alpha^{(n+1)}\right]$ and n sufficiently large. Therefore, similarly to (23), it again follows from (24) that there exists a constant C_4 such that

$$|h_{n+1}(x)-h(x)|\leq C_4(1-x)^2(n+1)\left(\varepsilon(1)/\delta_\alpha^2\right)^{n+1}=C_4(1-x)^2(n+1)\varepsilon^{2(n+1)/3}(1)$$

for $x\in\left[0,1-\delta_\alpha^{(n+1)}\right]$. Since $\varepsilon(1)<1$, the desired estimates follows. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

To prove Theorem 2 we shall use the following straightforward facts. If $F(y)$ is analytic around the origin, then

$$F\left(\frac{t}{n+1}\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{N-1}\frac{F_p t^p}{(n+1)^p}+\frac{\widetilde{F}_N(t)t^N}{(n+1)^N}, \quad \left|\widetilde{F}_N(t)\right|\leq C_F^{N+1}, \qquad (25)$$

for $t\in I_n:=\left[0,\sqrt{n+1}\right]$ and all $n\geq n_F$, where $F_p=F^{(p)}(0)/p!$, the last estimate follows from the extended Cauchy integral formula, and C_F is independent of n,N. Further, if functions $u(t),v(t)$ satisfy

$$g(t)=\sum_{p=0}^{N-1}\frac{B_p(g;t)}{(n+1)^p}+\frac{\widetilde{B}_N(g;t)}{(n+1)^N}, \qquad (26)$$

with $g \in \{u,v\}$, then so does their product and

$$B_p(uv;t) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} B_k(u;t)B_{p-k}(v;t) \tag{27}$$

for $p \le N-1$, while

$$\widetilde{B}_N(uv;t) = \sum_{l=0}^{N} \frac{1}{(n+1)^l} \sum_{k+m=N+l,\ k,m\le N} B_{N,k}(u;t)B_{N,m}(v;t) \tag{28}$$

with $B_{N,k}(g;t) = B_k(g;t)$ for $k<N$ and $B_{N,N}(t) = \widetilde{B}_N(g;t)$. Finally, let $F(y)$ be as in (25) and $g(t)$ be as in (26) with $B_0(g;t)=0$. Assume that the values of $g(t)$ lie the domain of holomorphy of $F(y)$ for all $n \ge n_g$. Then

$$F(g(t)) = F(0) + \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \frac{B_p(F\circ g;t)}{(n+1)^p} + \frac{\widetilde{B}_N(F\circ g;t)}{(n+1)^N}, \tag{29}$$

with

$$B_p(F\circ g;t) = \sum \frac{F^{(m)}(0)}{m_1!\cdots m_{N-1}!} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} B_k^{m_k}(g;t) \tag{30}$$

where $m = m_1+\cdots+m_{N-1}$ and the sum is taken over all partitions $p=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} im_i$, $m_i \ge 0$, and

$$\widetilde{B}_N(F\circ g;t) = \sum_{l=0}^{N(N-1)} \frac{1}{(n+1)^l} \sum \frac{F^{(m)}(0)}{m_1!\cdots m_N!} \prod_{k=1}^{N} B_{N,k}^{m_k}(g;t) \tag{31}$$

where $m = m_1+\cdots+m_N$, the inner sum is taken over all partitions $l+N=\sum_{i=1}^{N} im_i$, $m_i\ge 0$, and $B_{N,k}(g;t)$ has the same meaning as in (28).

Lemma 4. *Let $t\in I_n = \big[0,\sqrt{n+1}\big]$. Then it holds for all $N\ge 1$ that*

$$r\left(-1+\frac{t}{n+1}\right) = 2\rho\left(\sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \frac{r_p t^p}{(n+1)^p} + \frac{\tilde{r}_N(t)t^N}{(n+1)^N}\right) \tag{32}$$

for some constants r_p and functions $\tilde{r}_N(t)$ that obey estimate in (25). In particular, $r_0=1$, $r_1=-1/2$, $r_2=(1-\rho^2)/(8\rho^2)$. Moreover, for $\varepsilon(z)$, defined in Lemma 1, it holds that

$$\varepsilon^{n+1}\left(-1+\frac{t}{n+1}\right) = (-1)^{n+1}e^{-t/\rho}\left(1+\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{t^{p+1}e_p(t)}{(n+1)^p} + \frac{t^{N+1}\tilde{e}_N(t)}{(n+1)^N}\right), \tag{33}$$

where $e_p(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $p-1$ independent of n,N, in particular, $e_1(t)\equiv -1/(2\rho)$, and $|\tilde{e}_N(t)|$ is bounded above on I_n by a polynomial of degree $N-1$ whose coefficients depend only on N.

Proof. Observe that for $y>0$ it follows from (13) and the choice of the branch of $r(z)$ that

$$r(-1+y) = 2\rho\sqrt{1-y+y^2/(4\rho^2)},$$

where the root in right-hand side of the above equality is principal. Since the right-hand side above is analytic around the origin, expansion (32) follows from (25). An absolutely analogous argument yields the expansion

$$\log\left(-\varepsilon\left(-1+\frac{t}{n+1}\right)\right) = \sum_{p=1}^{N}\frac{\varepsilon_p t^p}{(n+1)^p} + \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{N+1}(t)t^{N+1}}{(n+1)^{N+1}}, \quad \varepsilon_1 = -\frac{1}{\rho},\ \varepsilon_2 = -\frac{1}{2\rho},$$

where $|\tilde{\varepsilon}_{N+1}(t)|$ has an upper bound as in (25). Since we can write

$$\varepsilon^{n+1}\left(-1+\frac{t}{n+1}\right) = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{e^{t/\rho}}\exp\left\{(n+1)\left(\log\left(-\varepsilon\left(-1+\frac{t}{n+1}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{t}{n+1}\right)\right\},$$

it follows from (29)–(31) that (33) holds, where $e_p(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $p-1$ independent of n,N (notice that always $m\leq p$ in (30)) and $|\tilde e_N(t)|$ is bounded above on I_n by a polynomial of degree $N-1$ whose coefficients depend only on N (again, we use that $m\leq l+N$ in (31) and that $t^{2l}\leq(n+1)^l$ on I_n). □

Lemma 5. *Set $\gamma(s):=2s/(e^s-e^{-s})$ and let $x=-1+t/(n+1)$, $t\in I_n$. It holds that*

$$h_{n+1}(x)=h(x)-(-1)^{n+1}\frac{(1-x)^2}{4}\gamma(t/\rho)(1+\Gamma_{n+1}(t)) \tag{34}$$

with $\Gamma_{n+1}(t)$ having an expansion of the form

$$\Gamma_{n+1}(t)=\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{H_p(t)}{(n+1)^p}+\frac{\widetilde H_N(t)}{(n+1)^N}, \tag{35}$$

for any $N\geq 2$, where $H_1(t)=t-(-1)^{n+1}(\alpha/2\rho)t+\mathscr O(t^2)$, $H_p(t)=\mathscr O(t^2)$, $p\geq 2$, and $\widetilde H_N(t)=\mathscr O(t^2)$ as $t\to 0$, $|H_p(t)|$ is bounded above by a polynomial of degree $2p$ independent of n,N, while $|\widetilde H_N(t)|$ is bounded above on I_n by a polynomial of degree $2N$ whose coefficients depend on N but not on n.

Proof. Recall (20). Notice that

$$\big(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)\big)\big(S(x)+R(x)\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)\big)=S(x)+2\alpha(x+1)\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)-R(x)\varepsilon^{2(n+1)}(x). \tag{36}$$

It follows from (32) that $S(x)$ and $R(x)$ have expansions as in (26) with

$$B_p(S;t)=B_p(R;t)=2\rho r_pt^p,\ p\neq 1,\quad B_1(S;t)=-(\alpha+\rho)t,\ B_1(R;t)=(\alpha-\rho)t,$$

and $\widetilde B_N(S;t)=\widetilde B_N(R;t)=2\rho\tilde r_N(t)$ for any $N\geq 2$. Therefore, we get from (27)–(28) and (33) that

$$R(x)\varepsilon^{2(n+1)}(x)=2\rho e^{-2t/\rho}\left(1+\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{C_p(t)t^p}{(n+1)^p}+\frac{\widetilde C_N(t)t^N}{(n+1)^N}\right)$$

for any $N\geq 2$, where $C_1(t)=(\alpha-\rho-2t)/(2\rho)$, $C_p(t)=r_p+tq_p(t)$ for some polynomial $q_p(t)$ of degree $p-1$ when $p\geq 2$, and $|\widetilde C_N(t)|$ is bounded above on I_n by a polynomial of degree N independent of n. Consequently, we get that the expression in (36) has an expansion

$$2\rho\big(1-e^{-2t/\rho}\big)\left(1+\frac{D_1(t)}{n+1}+\sum_{p=2}^{N-1}\frac{D_p(t)t^p}{(n+1)^p}+\frac{\widetilde D_N(t)t^N}{(n+1)^N}\right)$$

for all $N\geq 2$, where

$$\begin{aligned}D_1(t)&=-\alpha\left(\frac{1-(-1)^{n+1}e^{-t/\rho}}{2}\right)^2\frac{2t/\rho}{1-e^{-2t/\rho}}+\frac t2\left(\frac{2t/\rho}{e^{2t/\rho}-1}-1\right)\\&=-\alpha\frac{1-(-1)^{n+1}}{2}+\mathscr O\left(t^2\right)\quad\text{as}\quad t\to 0,\end{aligned}$$

with $|D_1(t)|$ bounded above by a linear function independent of n,N, and

$$D_p(t)=r_p+\gamma(t/\rho)\left((-1)^{n+1}\alpha e_{p-1}(t)-\rho e^{-t/\rho}q_p(t)\right)/2$$

for all $p\geq 2$, with $|D_p(t)|$ being bounded above on $[0,\infty)$, and $|\widetilde D_N(t)|$ that is bounded on I_n by a constant that depends on N but not on n. In particular, we have that

$$\left|\frac{D_1(t)}{n+1}+\sum_{p=2}^{N-1}\frac{D_p(t)t^p}{(n+1)^p}+\frac{\widetilde D_N(t)t^N}{(n+1)^N}\right|=\left|\frac{D_1(t)}{n+1}+\frac{\widetilde D_2(t)t^2}{(n+1)^2}\right|<\frac{c_N}{\sqrt{n+1}}<1$$

for $t \in I_n$ and all $n \geq n_N$, where c_N, n_N are constants dependent only on N. Thus, it follows from (29)–(31) with $F(y) = 1/(1+y)$ that the reciprocal of (36) has an expansion

$$\frac{1}{2\rho}\frac{1}{1-e^{-2t/\rho}}\left(1+\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{E_p(t)}{(n+1)^p}+\frac{\widetilde{E}_N(t)}{(n+1)^N}\right), \tag{37}$$

for all $N \geq 2$, where $E_1(t) = -D_1(t)$ and more generally

$$E_p(t) = (-1)^p D_1^p(t) + \mathscr{O}\left(t^2\right) = \alpha^p \frac{1-(-1)^{n+1}}{2} + \mathscr{O}\left(t^2\right) \tag{38}$$

as $t \to 0$ with $|E_p(t)|$ bounded above by a polynomial of degree p independent of n,N, while $|\widetilde{E}_N(t)|$ is bounded above on I_n by a polynomial of degree N whose coefficients depend on N but not on n. Furthermore, observe that

$$-h(x)\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)\left(\frac{n+1}{\alpha}\frac{(1-x)^2}{x}r(x)+2R(x)\left(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)\right)\right) = \\ -(n+1)(1+x)(1-x)^2\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)\left(-\frac{1}{x}-\frac{2\alpha}{n+1}\frac{R(x)}{r(x)}\frac{1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)}{(1-x)^2}\right).$$

It follows from an argument similar to the one given in the first part of the lemma that the above expression has an expansion of the form

$$-(1-x)^2(-1)^{n+1}te^{-t/\rho}\left(1+\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{G_p(t)t^{p-1}}{(n+1)^p}+\frac{\widetilde{G}_N(t)t^{N-1}}{(n+1)^N}\right), \tag{39}$$

for any $N \geq 3$, where

$$G_1(t) = -\alpha\frac{1-(-1)^{n+1}}{2} + \left(1-(-1)^{n+1}\frac{\alpha}{2\rho}\right)t + \mathscr{O}\left(t^2\right) \tag{40}$$

and

$$G_2(t) = -\alpha\frac{1-(-1)^{n+1}}{2}\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{2\rho}\right) + \mathscr{O}(t) \tag{41}$$

as $t \to 0$, $|G_p(t)|$ is bounded above by a polynomial of degree $p+1$ independent of n,N, while $|\widetilde{G}_N(t)|$ is bounded above on I_n by a polynomial of degree $N+1$ whose coefficients depend on N but not on n. We now get from (20), (37), and (39), that (34) and (35) do hold for $N \geq 3$ and functions $H_p(t)$ and $\widetilde{H}_N(t)$ that can be computed via (27)–(28) and whose moduli satisfy the described bounds. The vanishing of $H_p(t)$ as $t \to 0$ can be verified by using (27), (38), (40), and (41). To see that $\widetilde{H}_N(t) = \mathscr{O}(t^2)$, observe that

$$h_{n+1}(x) = -(-1)^{n+1} - (-1)^{n+1}(1-t/(n+1))\widetilde{H}_N(t)(n+1)^{-N} + \mathscr{O}\left(t^2\right)$$

by what precedes. Thus, we need to show that $h_{n+1}(x)+(-1)^{n+1}$ is divisible by $(1+x)^2$ (of course, if this were not true, formula (16) would not have made sense). Since $h_{n+1}(-1) = -(-1)^{n+1}$, it must hold that $h'_{n+1}(-1) = 0$. As was mentioned before (16), $h_{n+1}(x) = h_{n+1}(1/x)$ and therefore $x^2h'_{n+1}(x) = -h'_{n+1}(1/x)$, which yields the desired claim. Finally, since $\widetilde{H}_2(t) = H_2(t) + \widetilde{H}_3(t)(n+1)^{-1}$, we can take $N = 2$ in (35) as well. □

Lemma 6. *let $x = -1+t/(n+1)$, $t \in I_n$. It holds that*

$$\frac{\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{1-x} = \frac{\rho f(t/\rho)}{r(x)}\left(1+\gamma(t/\rho)\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{K_p(t)}{(n+1)^p}+\gamma(t/\rho)\frac{\widetilde{K}_N(t)}{(n+1)^N}\right) \tag{42}$$

for any $N \geq 2$, where $|K_p(t)|$ is bounded above by a polynomial of degree $2p$ independent of n,N while $|\widetilde{K}_N(t)|$ is bounded above on I_n by a polynomial of degree $2N$ whose coefficients depend on N but not on n.

Proof. Observe that $1-h^2(x)=\rho^2(1-x)^2r^{-2}(x)$. Then it follows from (34) that

$$\frac{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}{1-h^2(x)}=1+(-1)^{n+1}\gamma(t/\rho)\big(1+\Gamma_{n+1}(t)\big)h(x)\frac{r^2(x)}{2\rho^2}-\gamma(t/\rho)^2\big(1+\Gamma_{n+1}(t)\big)^2\frac{(1-x)^2}{4}\frac{r^2(x)}{4\rho^2}.$$

Since $h(x)r(x)=-\alpha(1+x)$, expansions (32), (35) and formulae (27)–(28) yield that

$$(-1)^{n+1}\big(1+\Gamma_{n+1}(t)\big)h(x)\frac{r^2(x)}{2\rho^2}=\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{H_p^*(t)}{(n+1)^p}+\frac{\widetilde H_N^*(t)}{(n+1)^N},$$

for any $N\geq 2$, where $H_1^*(t)=-(-1)^{n+1}(\alpha/\rho)t$, $H_p^*(t)=\mathscr{O}(t^2)$, $p\geq 2$, and $\widetilde H_N^*(t)=\mathscr{O}(t^2)$ as $t\to 0$, while $|H_p^*(t)|$ and $|\widetilde H_N^*(t)|$ have similar bounds to $|H_p(t)|$ and $|\widetilde H_N(t)|$. Furthermore, it clearly holds that

$$\frac{(1-x)^2}{4}=1-\frac{t}{n+1}+\frac14\frac{t^2}{(n+1)^2}\quad\text{and}\quad\frac{r^2(x)}{4\rho^2}=1-\frac{t}{n+1}+\frac{1}{4\rho^2}\frac{t^2}{(n+1)^2}.$$

Therefore, we again get from (27)–(28) that

$$\big(1+\Gamma_{n+1}(t)\big)^2\frac{(1-x)^2}{4}\frac{r^2(x)}{4\rho^2}=1+\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{H_p^{**}(t)}{(n+1)^p}+\frac{\widetilde H_N^{**}(t)}{(n+1)^N},$$

for any $N\geq 2$, where $H_1^{**}(t)=-(-1)^{n+1}(\alpha/\rho)t+\mathscr{O}(t^2)$, $H_p^{**}(t)=\mathscr{O}(t^2)$, $p\geq 2$, and $\widetilde H_N^{**}(t)=\mathscr{O}(t^2)$ as $t\to 0$ while $|H_p^{**}(t)|$ and $|\widetilde H_N^{**}(t)|$ have similar bounds to $|H_p(t)|$ and $|\widetilde H_N(t)|$. Altogether, it holds that

$$\frac{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}{1-h^2(x)}=f^2(t/\rho)\left(1+\gamma(t/\rho)\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{J_p(t)}{(n+1)^p}+\gamma(t/\rho)\frac{\widetilde J_N(t)}{(n+1)^N}\right),$$

where $J_p(t)=f^{-2}(t/\rho)\big(H_p^*(t)-\gamma(t/\rho)H_p^{**}(t)\big)$ and a similar formula holds for $\widetilde J_N(t)$. Observe that $f^2(s)$ is a positive function for $s>0$ that tends to 1 as $s\to\infty$ and such that $f^2(s)=s^2/3+\mathscr{O}(s^4)$ as $s\to 0$. Therefore, it follows from the corresponding properties of $H_p^*(t)$, $H_p^{**}(t)$, $\widetilde H_N^*(t)$, and $\widetilde H_N^{**}(t)$ that $J_p(t)$ and $\widetilde J_N(t)$ have finite value at the origin and have moduli that satisfy similar bounds to $|H_p(t)|$ and $|\widetilde H_N(t)|$. Observe also that there exist n_N and $c_N<1$ such that

$$\left|\gamma(t/\rho)\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{J_p(t)}{(n+1)^p}+\gamma(t/\rho)\frac{\widetilde J_N(t)}{(n+1)^N}\right|<c_N$$

for all $n\geq n_N$. Therefore, the claim of the lemma now follows from (29)–(31) applied with $F(y)=\sqrt{1+y}$. □

Lemma 7. *Let $x=-1+t/(n+1)$, $t\in I_n$. There exist constants O_p, $p\geq 1$, such that*

$$\frac{2\rho}{\pi}\int_0^{\sqrt{n+1}}\frac{f(t/\rho)}{tr(x)}\mathrm{d}t=\frac{1}{2\pi}\log(n+1)+\frac{A_0}{2}-\frac1\pi\log(2\rho)+\frac1\pi\mathscr{L}\left(-1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right)+\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{O_p}{(n+1)^p}+\mathscr{O}_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right),$$

for any $N\geq 1$, where $\mathscr{O}_N(\cdot)$ does not depend on n and

$$\mathscr{L}(x):=\log\left(\frac{4\rho}{\rho(1-x)+r(x)}\right).$$

Proof. Similarly to (32), there exist constants r_p^* such that

$$\frac{2\rho}{r(x)} = 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \frac{r_p^* t^p}{(n+1)^p} + \frac{\tilde{r}_N^*(t) t^N}{(n+1)^N}, \tag{43}$$

for any $N \geq 1$, where $|\tilde{r}_N^*(t)|$ is bounded above on I_n by a constant that depends only on N. Then

$$\mathscr{I}_1 := \frac{2\rho}{\pi} \int_0^\rho \frac{f(t/\rho)}{tr(x)} \mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{f(t)}{t} \mathrm{d}t + \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \frac{L_p}{(n+1)^p} + \mathscr{O}_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right),$$

where $L_p := (r_p^* \rho^p/\pi) \int_0^1 f(t) t^{p-1} \mathrm{d}t$ and $\mathscr{O}_N(\cdot)$ does not depend on n. Furthermore, it holds that

$$\mathscr{I}_2 := \frac{2\rho}{\pi} \int_\rho^{\sqrt{n+1}} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{tr(x)} = \frac{2\rho}{\pi} \int_{-1+\rho/(n+1)}^{-1+1/\sqrt{n+1}} \frac{dx}{(1+x)r(x)}. \tag{44}$$

It can be easily verified by differentiation that an antiderivative of $2\rho/((1+x)r(x))$ is $\log(1+x) + \mathscr{L}(x)$. Again, similarly to (32), there exist constants l_p such that

$$\mathscr{L}(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \frac{l_p t^p}{(n+1)^p} + \frac{\tilde{l}_N(t) t^N}{(n+1)^N},$$

for any $N \geq 1$, where $|\tilde{l}_N(t)|$ is bounded above on I_n by a constant that depends only on N. Therefore, it holds that

$$\mathscr{I}_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(n+1) - \frac{1}{\pi} \log \rho + \frac{1}{\pi} \mathscr{L}\left(-1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right) - \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \frac{l_p \rho^p/\pi}{(n+1)^p} + \mathscr{O}_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right),$$

where, again, $\mathscr{O}_N(\cdot)$ does not depend on n. Next, we have from (43) that

$$\mathscr{I}_3 := \frac{2\rho}{\pi} \int_\rho^{\sqrt{n+1}} \frac{f(t/\rho)-1}{tr(x)} \mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^{\sqrt{n+1}/\rho} \frac{f(t)-1}{t} \mathrm{d}t +$$
$$\sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \frac{r_p^* \rho^p/\pi}{(n+1)^p} \int_1^{\sqrt{n+1}/\rho} (f(t)-1) t^{p-1} \mathrm{d}t + \frac{\rho^N/\pi}{(n+1)^N} \int_1^{\sqrt{n+1}/\rho} (f(t)-1) \tilde{r}_N^*(\rho t) t^{N-1} \mathrm{d}t$$

for any $N \geq 1$. Notice that

$$0 < 1 - f(t) < t^2 \operatorname{csch}^2(t) < 8t^2 e^{-2t}, \quad t \geq 1. \tag{45}$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$0 < \int_{\sqrt{n+1}/\rho}^\infty (1-f(t)) t^{p-1} \mathrm{d}t \leq C_p (n+1)^{(p+1)/2} e^{-(2/\rho)\sqrt{n+1}} = o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right) \tag{46}$$

for any $p \geq 0$ and $N \geq 1$ and some constant C_p that depends only on p, where $o_N(\cdot)$ does not depend on n. Moreover, since $|\tilde{r}_N^*(t)|$ is bounded above on I_n by a constant that depends only on N, we have that

$$\left| \int_1^{\sqrt{n+1}/\rho} (f(t)-1) \tilde{r}_N^*(\rho t) t^{N-1} \mathrm{d}t \right| \leq C_N^* \int_1^\infty (1-f(t)) t^{N-1} \mathrm{d}t = C_N^{**}.$$

Thus, we can conclude that

$$\mathscr{I}_3 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^\infty \frac{f(t)-1}{t} \mathrm{d}t + \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \frac{M_p}{(n+1)^p} + \mathscr{O}_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right),$$

where $M_p := (r_p^* \rho^p/\pi) \int_1^\infty (f(t)-1) t^{p-1} \mathrm{d}t$ and $\mathscr{O}_N(\cdot)$ does not depend on n. Since the integral in the statement of the lemma is equal to $\mathscr{I}_1 + \mathscr{I}_2 + \mathscr{I}_3$, the desired claim now follows from the definition of A_0 in (5), where $O_p = L_p - l_p \rho^p/\pi + M_p$. □

Lemma 8. *There exist constants T_p such that*

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-1}^{-1+1/\sqrt{n+1}}\frac{\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{1-x^2}\mathrm{d}x=\frac{1}{2\pi}\log(n+1)+\frac{A_0}{2}-\frac{1}{\pi}\log(2\rho)+ \frac{1}{\pi}\mathscr{L}\left(-1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right)+\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{T_p}{(n+1)^p}+\mathscr{O}_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right),$$

for any $N\geq 1$, where $\mathscr{O}_N(\cdot)$ does not depend on n.

Proof. Recall (42). It follows from (43) and (27)–(28) that

$$\frac{2\rho}{r(x)}\left(\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{K_p(t)}{(n+1)^p}+\frac{\widetilde{K}_N(t)}{(n+1)^N}\right)=\sum_{p=1}^{N-1}\frac{S_p(t)}{(n+1)^p}+\frac{\widetilde{S}_N(t)}{(n+1)^N}$$

for any $N\geq 2$, where $|S_p(t)|$ is bounded above by a polynomial of degree $2p$ independent of n,N while $|\widetilde{S}_N(t)|$ is bounded above on I_n by a polynomial of degree $2N$ whose coefficients depend on N but not on n. Similarly to (45), it holds that $\gamma(s)<3se^{-s}$ for $s\geq\log 2$. Because $f(s)\to 1$ as $s\to\infty$, it holds as in (46) that

$$0<\int_{\sqrt{n+1}/\rho}^{\infty}|\rho S_p(\rho t)|\gamma(t)f(t)\mathrm{d}t\leq C_p(n+1)^{p+1/2}e^{-\sqrt{n+1}/\rho}=o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right)$$

for any $p\geq 1$ and $N\geq 1$ and some constant C_p that depends only on p, where $o_N(\cdot)$ does not depend on n. Moreover, a similar estimate takes place if $S_p(t)$ is replaced by $\widetilde{S}_N(t)$. The claim of the lemma now follows by making a substitution $x=-1+t/(n+1)$ to get

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-1}^{-1+1/\sqrt{n+1}}\frac{\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{1-x^2}\mathrm{d}x=\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{0}^{\sqrt{n+1}}\frac{\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{1-x}\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}$$

and then using Lemmas 6 and 7, where $T_p=O_p+(\rho/\pi)\int_0^\infty f(t)\gamma(t)S_p(\rho t)\mathrm{d}t$ (since $T_1/(n+1)=\mathscr{O}_N((n+1)^{-1})$, the claim indeed holds for all $N\geq 1$). □

Lemma 9. *It holds that*

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-1+1/\sqrt{n+1}}^{1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}}\frac{\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{1-x^2}\mathrm{d}x=\frac{1}{2\pi}\log(n+1)+ \frac{1}{\pi}\log\left(\frac{4\rho}{|\alpha|}\right)-\frac{1}{\pi}\mathscr{L}\left(-1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right)+o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right)$$

for any integer $N\geq 1$, where $o_N(\cdot)$ is independent of n, but does depend on N.

Proof. Since $|h_{n+1}(x)|,|h(x)|\leq 1$ when $x\in[-1,1]$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\sqrt{1-h^2(x)}-\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{1-x^2}\right| &= \frac{|h_{n+1}^2(x)-h^2(x)|}{(1-x^2)\left(\sqrt{1-h^2(x)}+\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}\right)}\\ &\leq \frac{2|h_{n+1}(x)-h(x)|}{(1-x^2)\sqrt{1-h^2(x)}}=\frac{2}{\rho}\frac{r(x)}{(1+x)}\frac{|h_{n+1}(x)-h(x)|}{(1-x)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $r(x)\leq 2$, $x\in[-1,1]$, we obtain from (15) that

$$\left|\frac{\sqrt{1-h^2(x)}-\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{1-x^2}\right|\leq C(n+1)^{3/2}e^{-\sqrt{n+1}/\rho}$$

for $-1+1/\sqrt{n+1} \leq x \leq 1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}$ and some constant C. Therefore, it holds that

$$\left|\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-1+1/\sqrt{n+1}}^{1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}} \frac{\sqrt{1-h^2(x)}-\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{1-x^2}\mathrm{d}x\right| = o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right)$$

for any $N \geq 1$, where $o_N(\cdot)$ is independent of n, but does depend on N. Furthermore, since $r(x) \geq 2|\alpha|\rho$ for $x \in [-1,1]$, it holds that

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{1-h^2(x)}}{1-x^2}\mathrm{d}x = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}}^{1}\frac{\rho\mathrm{d}x}{(1+x)r(x)} \leq \frac{\delta_\alpha^{n+1}}{|\alpha|\pi} = o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right)$$

for any $N \geq 1$ by the very definition of δ_α, where, again, $o_N(\cdot)$ is independent of n, but does depend on N. The observation made after (44) allows us now to conclude that

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-1+1/\sqrt{n+1}}^{1}\frac{\rho\mathrm{d}x}{(1+x)r(x)} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\log(n+1)+\frac{1}{\pi}\log\left(\frac{4\rho}{|\alpha|}\right)-\frac{1}{\pi}\mathscr{L}\left(-1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right),$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 10. *When $\alpha > 0$, it holds that*

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}}^{1}\frac{\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{1-x^2}\mathrm{d}x = 1+o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right)$$

for any $N \geq 1$, where $o_N(\cdot)$ is independent of n, but does depend on N.

Proof. It follows from (20) and (24) that

$$h_{n+1}(x) = h(x) - h(x)\frac{\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)X_{n+1}(x)}{(1-x)^2+\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)Y_{n+1}(x)},$$

where

$$X_{n+1}(x) := \frac{R(x)}{\alpha\rho^2}\left((n+1)r(x)\frac{(1-x)^2}{x}+2\alpha R(x)\left(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)\right)\right)$$

and

$$Y_{n+1}(x) := \frac{R(x)}{\rho^2}\left(2\alpha(x+1)-R(x)\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)\right).$$

Therefore, we can write

$$1-h_{n+1}^2(x) = \rho^2\frac{(1-x)^2}{r^2(x)}+h^2(x)\frac{(1-x)^2\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)X_{n+1}(x)}{((1-x)^2+\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)Y_{n+1}(x))^2}\times \left(2-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)\frac{X_{n+1}(x)-2Y_{n+1}(x)}{(1-x)^2}\right).$$

We have that

$$\frac{X_{n+1}(x)-2Y_{n+1}(x)}{(1-x)^2} = \frac{R(x)}{\rho^2(1-x)^2}\left(2S(x)+(n+1)\frac{(1-x)^2r(x)}{\alpha x}\right) = 2+(n+1)\frac{r(x)R(x)}{\alpha\rho^2 x},$$

where we used (24) once more. Hence, it holds that

$$\frac{\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{1-x^2} = \frac{\varepsilon^{(n+1)/2}(x)V_{n+1}(x)}{(1-x)^2+\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)Y_{n+1}(x)}, \tag{47}$$

where

$$V_{n+1}(x) := -\frac{2}{\rho}\frac{h(x)R(x)}{1+x}\sqrt{\left(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)\left(1+(n+1)\frac{r(x)R(x)}{2\alpha\rho^2x}\right)\right)\times \overline{\times\left(1-\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)+(n+1)\frac{(1-x)^2r(x)}{2\alpha xR(x)}\right)+\left(\rho^2\frac{(1-x)^2+\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)Y_{n+1}(x)}{2\varepsilon^{(n+1)/2}(x)h(x)r(x)R(x)}\right)^2}}$$

(observe that $-h(x)>0$). Recall that $\delta_\alpha = \varepsilon^{1/3}(1)$. In particular, we get from (21) that

$$\frac{(1-x)^2}{\varepsilon^{(n+1)/2}(x)} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{n+1}{6}}(1)\left(\frac{\varepsilon(1)}{\varepsilon(1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1})}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} = (1+o(1))\varepsilon^{\frac{n+1}{6}}(1) = o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right) \tag{48}$$

for $1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}\leq x\leq 1$. Since

$$Y_{n+1}(x) = (2\alpha/\rho^2)(x+1)R(x) + o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right) \tag{49}$$

on any fixed small enough neighborhood of 1, it holds that

$$V_{n+1}(x) = -\frac{2}{\rho}\frac{h(x)R(x)}{1+x} + o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right) = \frac{4\alpha}{\rho} + o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right) \tag{50}$$

uniformly for $1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}\leq x\leq 1$. Let

$$Z_{n+1}(x) := \sqrt{Y_{n+1}(x)} - \frac{x-1}{2}\left((n+1)\frac{1-x}{x}\frac{\sqrt{Y_{n+1}(x)}}{r(x)} + \frac{Y_{n+1}'(x)}{\sqrt{Y_{n+1}(x)}}\right).$$

It follows from the definition of $Z_{n+1}(x)$ and an estimate similar to (48) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}}^1 \frac{\varepsilon^{(n+1)/2}(x)Z_{n+1}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x}{(1-x)^2+\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)Y_{n+1}(x)} &= \arctan\left(\frac{x-1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)Y_{n+1}(x)}}\right)\Bigg|_{1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}}^1 \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\left(\mathscr{O}(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{n+1}{6}}(1)\right) = \frac{\pi}{2} + o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we get from (49), the definition of $Z_{n+1}(x)$, and (50) that

$$Z_{n+1}(x) = \frac{4\alpha}{\rho} + o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right) = V_{n+1}(x) + o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right)$$

uniformly for $1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}\leq x\leq 1$. Therefore, (47) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}}^1 \frac{\sqrt{1-h_{n+1}^2(x)}}{1-x^2}\mathrm{d}x &= \frac{2}{\pi}\int_{1-\delta_\alpha^{n+1}}^1 \frac{\varepsilon^{(n+1)/2}(x)\left(Z_{n+1}(x)+o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right)\right)}{(1-x)^2+\varepsilon^{n+1}(x)Y_{n+1}(x)}\mathrm{d}x \\ &= 1+o_N\left((n+1)^{-N}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where we used positivity of the integrand for the last estimate. □

Proof of Theorem 2. The claim follows from formula (16) and Lemmas 8–10. □

References

[1] H. Aljubran and M.L. Yattselev. An asymptotic expansion for the expected number of real zeros of real random polynomials spanned by OPUC. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 469, 428–446, 2019. 2

[2] T. Bayraktar. Equidistribution of zeros of random holomorphic sections. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 65(5), 1759–1793, 2016. 2

[3] A. T. Bharucha-Reid, M. Sambandham. *Random polynomials*. Probability and Mathematical Statistics, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986. 1

[4] T. Bloom and D. Dauvergne. Asymptotic zero distribution of random orthogonal polynomials. *Ann. Probab.*, 47(5), 3202-3230, 2019. 2

[5] T. Bloom and N. Levenberg. Random polynomials and pluripotential-theoretic extremal functions. *Potential Anal.*, 42(2), 311–334, 2015. 2

[6] T. Bloom and B. Shiffman. Zeros of random polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^m$. *Math. Res.Lett.*, 14(3), 469–479, 2007. 2

[7] M. Das. Real zeros of a random sum of orthogonal polynomials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27, 147–153, 1971. 2

[8] M. Das and S.S. Bhatt. Real roots of random harmonic equations. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 13(4), 411–420, 1982. 2

[9] D. Dauvergne. A necessary and sufficient condition for global convergence of the zeros of random polynomials. Preprint. https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.07614. 2

[10] Y. Do, O. Nguyen, and V. Vu. Roots of random polynomials with coefficients of polynomial growth. *Ann. Probab.*, 46(5), 2407-2494, 2018. 2

[11] A. Edelman and E. Kostlan. How many zeros of a random polynomial are real? *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 32(1): 1–37, 1995. 2

[12] K. Farahmand. *Topics in random polynomials*. Vol. 393 of Pitman Research Note in Mathematics Series, Longman, Harlow, 1998. 1

[13] I.A. Ibragimov and N.B. Maslova. The average number of real roots of random polynomials. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 12, 1004–1008, 1971. 2

[14] Z. Kabluchko and D. Zaporozhets. Asymptotic distribution of complex zeros of random analytic functions. *Ann. Probab.*, 42(4), 1374–1395, 2014. 2

[15] M. Kac. On the average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49:314–320, 1943. 1

[16] D. Lubinsky, I. Pritsker, and X. Xie. Expected number of real zeros for random linear combinations of orthogonal polynomials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 144:1631–1642, 2016. 2

[17] D. Lubinsky, I. Pritsker, and X. Xie. Expected number of real zeros for random orthogonal polynomials. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 164, 47-66, 2018. 2

[18] H. Nguyen, O. Nguyen, and V. Vu. On the number of real roots of random polynomials. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, 18(4), 1550052, 2016. 2

[19] I.E. Pritsker. Asymptotic zero distribution of random polynomials spanned by general bases. *Modern trends in constructive function theory*, Vol. 661 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016, pp. 121–140. 2

[20] I.E. Pritsker. Expected zeros of random orthogonal polynomials on the real line. *Jaen J. Approx.*, 9(1) 1–24, 2017. 2

[21] B. Shiffman and S. Zelditch. Equilibrium distribution of zeros of random polynomials. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 1, 25–49, 2003. 2

[22] B. Simanek. Universality at an endpoint for orthogonal polynomials with Geronimus-type weights. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146(9):3995–4007, 2018. 4

[23] B. Simon. *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. 54, Parts I and II, Providence, RI, 2005. 2, 3

[24] R.J. Vanderbei. The Complex zeros of random sums. Technical report, Princeton University, 2015. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.05162v2`. 2

[25] J.E. Wilkins. An asymptotic expansion for the expected number of real zeros of a random polynomial. *Pros. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 103(4):1249–1258, 1988. 1

[26] J. E. Wilkins. The expected value of the number of real zeros of a random sum of Legendre polynomials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 125(5), 1531–1536, 1997. 2

[27] M.L. Yattselev and A. Yeager. Zeros of real random polynomials spanned by OPUC. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 68(3), 835–856, 2019. 2, 3

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIANA UNIVERSITY-PURDUE UNIVERSITY INDIANAPOLIS, 402 NORTH BLACKFORD STREET, INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46202

Email address: `haljubra@iu.edu` (Hanan Aljubran)

Email address: `maxyatts@iupui.edu` (Maxim Yattselev)