ADAPTING WRITING CENTER PEDAGOGY FOR COMPOSITION CLASSROOMS: A METACOGNITIVE APPROACH

Laura M. Gellin

Submitted to the faculty of the University Graduate School
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree
Master of Arts
in the Department of English,
Indiana University

March 2012

Accepted by the Faculty of Indiana University, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts.

Master's Thesis Committee

Stephen L. Fox, Ph.D., Chair

André Buchenot, Ph.D.

Teresa Molinder Hogue, M.A.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my thesis committee, Dr. Stephen Fox, Dr. Andy Buchenot, and Ms. Tere Hogue, who have provided me with support and guidance over the course of my graduate studies. I appreciate the time and effort they have given me.

I also wish to thank Christel DeHaan for her financial support of my graduate studies.

I am grateful to my husband for being my sounding board, for this project and every project I have pursued since we met.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to my students, past, present, and future, who push me to grow in my teaching and my learning.

Table of Contents

List of Figures vi
Introduction 1
Literature Review of Metacognition in Composition 4
History 4
Definition of Metacognition 6
The Role of Motivational Factors in the Implementation of Metacognition 8
What is Already Out There? Current Best Practices of Implementing Metacognition into Writing Instruction Literature Review of Metacognition in Composition 12
Practice #1: Using Strategy Instruction 12
Practice #2: Demystifying the Writing Process 13
Practice #3: Modeling and Direct Instruction of Self-Regulation Strategies 15
Practice #4: Implementing Collaboration 15
Practice #5: Addressing Affective Concerns and Students' Self-Perception 17
Practice #6: Prioritizing Student Agency 18
Practice #7: Designing Authentic and Challenging Tasks 19
The Task is Authentic 19
The Task Presents a Challenge 20
The Seven Practices in Writing Center Pedagogy 22
Goals of Writing Center Pedagogy 22
How Do Writing Center Tutorials Typically "Work"? 22
Triangulation and the More "Pure" Learning Space of the Writing Center 24
Deconstruction of the Roles of the Writing Center Tutor 26
Tutor as Coach in the Writing Center 26
Tutor as Commentator in the Writing Center 27
Tutor as Counselor in the Writing Center 30
Relocating Writing Center Pedagogy 32
Maintaining Triangulation and the More "Pure" Learning Space in the Classroom 32
Reconstruction of the Roles of the Writing Center Tutor in the Classroom 36
Re-Conceptualizing Coaching in the Classroom 36
Tutor-Teacher as Coach 36
Text as Coach 39
Other Students as Coach 39
Coach for Oneself 40
Re-Conceptualizing Commentating in the Classroom 41
Tutor-Teacher as Commentator 41
Other Students as Commentator 42
Commentator for Oneself 44

Re-Conceptualizing Counseling in the Classroom 45
The Collective as Counselor 45
Counselor for Oneself 47
The Hallmark of Adapted Writing Center Pedagogy: Reflection 48
Theory in Action: Web Redesign Project in an Advanced Placement Language and Composition Classroom 50
Task Design 51
By Design: Student Choice in the Process and Product 53
Pre-Project Activities to Build Confidence through Metacognitive Knowledge 56
The Transformative Results of Adapted Writing Center Pedagogy in the Web Redesign Project 60
Students as Social Critics 60
Students as Simultaneous Consumers and Producers 61
Students as Process-Oriented Risk-Takers 64
Students as Problem Solvers 69
Students as Agents of Positive Change 72
Conclusion 74
Appendices
Appendix A: Web Redesign Project Handout 77
Appendix B: Description of Pre-Project Activities 79
References 80
Curriculum Vitae

List of Figures

Figure 1. A cyclical, three-phase model of self-regulated learning……...…….9

Figure 2. Upper School main page and Admissions page…….65

Figure 3. Innovative, contemporary design of Admissions page and Upper School page…….66

Figure 4. Homepage, Admissions parent page, and Upper School page……..68