



The impact of COVID-19 on the LGBTQ+ community: Comparisons between cisgender, heterosexual people, cisgender sexual minority people, and gender minority people

Dustin Z. Nowaskie^{a,*}, Anna C. Roesler^b

^a Department of Psychiatry, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, United States

^b Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Coronavirus
Disparity
Financial hardship
Health
LGBTQ+
Mental health
Needs
Sociality

ABSTRACT

There is a dearth of public health data and research focusing on lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and other sexual and gender minority (LGBTQ+) populations during the coronavirus ("COVID") pandemic. This study evaluated how COVID has impacted health, social, and occupational areas of functioning of the LGBTQ+ community. A community survey was distributed via email by local LGBTQ+ community organizations between September and December 2020. Participants (cisgender, heterosexual people, $n = 63$; cisgender sexual minority people, $n = 184$; and gender minority people, $n = 74$) were asked how COVID has impacted their life circumstances (i.e., physical health, mental health, financial stability, meeting basic needs, and social connectedness). A multivariate analysis of covariance was tested with these groups, demographic and HIV serostatus variables as independent variables and covariates, and outcomes as dependent variables. Compared to cisgender, heterosexual people, significantly more cisgender sexual minority people reported worsening physical health, and significantly more gender minority people reported worsening of all outcomes. Significantly more gender minority people reported worsening financial stability than cisgender sexual minority people. COVID has contributed to a worsening of life circumstances among the LGBTQ+ community, especially for gender minority people. More research is needed to create proactive, equitable, culturally-focused responses and interventions to pandemics.

1. Introduction

Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and other sexual and gender minority (LGBTQ+) people—sometimes collectively referred to as sexual and gender minority people and in this study referred to as "the community" unless stated otherwise—are members of every community and make up at least 5.6% of the total general population of the United States (Jones, 2021). In general, the community experiences barriers to care due to stigma and discrimination (Alencar Albuquerque et al., 2016; Hafeez et al., 2017), financial insecurity, lack of healthcare insurance (Sachdeva et al., 2021), and higher rates of physical and mental health conditions (Gorczynski and Fasoli, 2020; Sachdeva et al., 2021). Additionally, there is a dearth of public health data and research focusing on LGBTQ+ populations (Boehmer, 2002; Ortiz-Martinez and Rios-Gonzalez, 2017), especially during the coronavirus (COVID-19,

henceforth referred to as "COVID") pandemic (Cahill et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2021; Sachdeva et al., 2021).

As of early 2022, there have been over 60 million reported COVID cases and nearly one million COVID-related deaths in the United States (CDC, 2022). There is a lack of data on COVID within the LGBTQ+ community, partially secondary to many national data collection systems not gathering information about sexual orientation and/or gender identity (Cahill et al., 2020). Utilizing pre-COVID data from the 2017–2019 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, Heslin and Hall (2021) noted that compared to heterosexual people, sexual minority people had significantly higher prevalences of underlying conditions that can lead to severe COVID-related illnesses and death, including cancer (9.2%), kidney disease (4.7%), asthma (13.8%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (10.3%), heart disease (8.0%), hypertension (35.7%), stroke (4.7%), obesity (34.1%), diabetes (12.5%), and

Abbreviations: LGBTQ+, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and other sexual and gender minorities; COVID, coronavirus disease.

* Corresponding author. Department of Psychiatry, Indiana University School of Medicine 355 W. 16th St., #2364 Indianapolis, IN, United States 317-759-0926

E-mail address: dnowaski@iupui.edu (D.Z. Nowaskie).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2022.114391>

Received 16 March 2021; Received in revised form 6 January 2022; Accepted 8 January 2022

Available online 10 January 2022

0165-1781/© 2022 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

smoking (22.1%). Additionally, pre-COVID data from the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's national surveys between 2016 and 2019 have consistently shown increasingly high prevalences of serious mental illness (13.1–18.1%), major depressive episodes (10.5–33.1%), suicidal thoughts (14.5–27.9%), suicidal plans (5.7–11.5%), and suicidal attempts (2.2–5.5%) as well as co-occurring substance use disorders with any mental illness (10.7–12.9%) among sexual minority adults (SAMHSA, 2022). These mental health conditions can likely lead to poor COVID-related physical outcomes, healthcare utilization, and treatment adherence. However, data on COVID-related infection, complications, and death rates for sexual and gender minority people are largely nonexistent (Cahill et al., 2020).

Historically, many LGBTQ+ individuals have suffered stigmatization during past pandemic infectious outbreaks, such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), severe acute respiratory syndrome, and influenza H1N1 (Kline, 2020). In parallel, the disparities that LGBTQ+ people face are proposed to have even more severe, adverse consequences on marginalized LGBTQ+ populations due to COVID (Sachdeva et al., 2021). Furthermore, the COVID pandemic has created additional psychosocial stressors, e.g., social distancing and isolation, which have likely exacerbated mental health conditions that were previously highly prevalent within the community, including anxiety, depression (Flentje et al., 2020; Gonzales et al., 2020; Kidd et al., 2021), post-traumatic stress disorder, and substance use disorders (Pedrosa et al., 2020).

Despite a delineation of risk factors and speculation of worsened quality of life outcomes for the LGBTQ+ community during the COVID pandemic, recent data have focused on specific outcomes such as anxiety and depression (Flentje et al., 2020; Gonzales et al., 2020; Kidd et al., 2021) and has been less representative of Black/African American communities and/or people living with HIV, despite notable COVID-related exacerbations of disparities for racial minority populations (Cahill et al., 2020) as well as people living with HIV (Santos et al., 2021; Tesoriero et al., 2021). Moreover, there are no known studies that have comprehensively assessed how COVID has impacted health, social, and occupational areas of functioning of the LGBTQ+ community. Likewise, there are no known comparative studies regarding the impact of COVID on LGBTQ+ communities. As such, this study aimed to examine the impact COVID has had on the LGBTQ+ community, especially regarding changes in physical health, mental health, financial stability, meeting basic needs, and social connectedness. Moreover, comparisons between cisgender, heterosexual community members, cisgender sexual minority people, and gender minority people were conducted to elucidate subgroup differences and in doing so, offer support for culturally-focused responses to pandemics.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

An anonymous, self-reporting, cross-sectional community needs and impact survey was distributed via email by local LGBTQ+ community organizations of a Midwest state between September and December 2020. Participation was voluntary and anonymous. Survey initiation and completion constituted consent. Deidentified data were provided to the corresponding author and as such was deemed not human subjects research by the Indiana University Institutional Review Board (Protocol #10,797).

2.2. Variables

Participants' demographics (i.e., age, gender identity, sexual orientation, race, ethnicity, and annual income) and HIV serostatus were collected. Additionally, participants were asked how the COVID pandemic has impacted their life circumstances (i.e., physical health, mental health, financial stability, meeting basic needs, and social connectedness—henceforth, collectively referred to as “outcomes” unless

stated otherwise) with the options “gotten worse”, “no change”, “gotten better”, or “not applicable”.

2.3. Analyses

All results were conducted on SPSS Statistics 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Participants were categorized into groups based on gender identity and sexual orientation: cisgender, heterosexual people (i.e., those who identified as exclusively cisgender and heterosexual); cisgender sexual minority people (i.e., those who identified as cisgender and non-exclusively heterosexual); and gender minority people (i.e., those who identified as non-exclusively cisgender). A multivariate analysis of covariance was tested with these groups, demographic and HIV serostatus variables as independent variables and covariates, and outcomes as dependent variables. Post-hoc Fisher's Least Significant Differences tests were used to evaluate differences between groups. Statistical significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Participants

A total of 335 people completed the survey, 14 of which were excluded from analyses due to missing gender identity and/or sexual orientation (Table 1). The majority were cisgender sexual minority people (57.3%), Caucasian/White (65.3%), not Hispanic and/or Latino (94.0%), with less than \$40,000 annual income (55.2%), and HIV negative (48.8%). There were significant differences between cisgender, heterosexual people, cisgender sexual minority people, and gender minority people regarding: 1) age, $F(2, 298) = 4.178, p = 0.016$, such that gender minority people were significantly younger than cisgender sexual minority people ($p = 0.045$) and cisgender, heterosexual people ($p = 0.020$); and 2) HIV serostatus, $\chi^2(4) = 21.514, p < 0.001$, such that fewer cisgender sexual minority people had never been tested. There were no significant differences among these groups regarding race, ethnicity, and annual income.

3.2. Group comparisons

There were significant group differences on outcomes based on gender identity and sexual orientation, while adjusting for age, race, ethnicity, annual income, and human immunodeficiency virus serostatus (Table 2). In general, compared to cisgender, heterosexual people, more sexual and gender minority people reported that outcomes had worsened since the COVID pandemic, with gender minority people reporting the highest rates of worsening outcomes. Specifically, significantly more cisgender sexual minority people reported worsening physical health ($p = 0.025$) and marginally more reported worsening mental health ($p = 0.077$) and social connectedness ($p = 0.064$) than cisgender, heterosexual people. Significantly more gender minority people reported worsening physical health ($p = 0.006$), mental health ($p = 0.021$), financial stability ($p = 0.006$), meeting basic needs ($p = 0.011$), and social connectedness ($p = 0.011$) than cisgender, heterosexual people. Significantly more gender minority people reported worsening financial stability ($p = 0.002$) than cisgender sexual minority people.

4. Discussion

This study sought to quantify the impact of COVID on the LGBTQ+ community to better understand the effects of the pandemic and ways to improve public health. This proposed evaluation requires understanding how COVID has impacted this community's health, social, and occupational areas of functioning. Since the pandemic, there has been heightened distress for the general population (Holmes et al., 2020) and especially the LGBTQ+ community. Specifically, several life

Table 1
Survey variables.

| | Cisgender, heterosexual people (n=63) | Cisgender sexual minority people (n=184) | Gender minority people (n=74) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Age | 41.72 (13.09) | 39.95 (12.74) | 35.50 (13.44) |
| Gender identity | | | |
| Agender | - | - | 1 (0.3) |
| Cisgender man | 19 (30.2) | 129 (70.1) | - |
| Cisgender woman | 44 (69.8) | 55 (29.9) | - |
| Genderqueer | - | - | 11 (14.9) |
| Nonbinary | - | - | 29 (39.2) |
| Transgender man | - | - | 9 (12.2) |
| Transgender woman | - | - | 17 (23.0) |
| Other | - | - | 7 (9.5) |
| Sexual orientation | | | |
| Asexual | - | 6 (3.3) | 4 (5.4) |
| Bisexual | - | 29 (15.8) | 12 (16.2) |
| Gay | - | 113 (61.4) | 10 (13.5) |
| Heterosexual | 63 (100.0) | - | 3 (4.1) |
| Lesbian | - | 13 (7.1) | 14 (18.9) |
| Pansexual | - | 13 (7.1) | 9 (12.2) |
| Queer | - | 5 (2.7) | 20 (27.0) |
| Other | - | 5 (2.7) | 2 (2.7) |
| Race | | | |
| Asian/Asian American | - | 2 (1.2) | 2 (2.9) |
| Black/African American | 22 (35.5) | 43 (24.9) | 13 (19.1) |
| Caucasian/White | 36 (58.1) | 114 (65.9) | 48 (70.6) |
| Other | 4 (6.5) | 14 (8.1) | 5 (7.4) |
| Ethnicity | | | |
| Hispanic and/or Latino | 4 (6.6) | 13 (7.6) | 1 (1.5) |
| Not Hispanic and/or Latino | 57 (93.4) | 159 (92.4) | 67 (98.5) |
| Annual income | | | |
| <\$10,000 | 13 (21.3) | 35 (19.4) | 17 (23.0) |
| \$10,001-20,000 | 12 (19.7) | 20 (11.1) | 9 (12.2) |
| \$20,001-40,000 | 10 (16.4) | 37 (20.6) | 21 (28.4) |
| \$40,001-60,000 | 8 (13.1) | 34 (18.9) | 14 (18.9) |
| \$60,001-80,000 | 4 (6.6) | 12 (6.7) | 7 (9.5) |
| \$80,001-100,000 | 6 (9.8) | 15 (8.3) | 2 (2.7) |
| \$100,001-150,000 | 5 (8.2) | 17 (9.4) | 3 (4.1) |
| \$150,000+ | 3 (4.9) | 10 (5.6) | 1 (1.4) |
| HIV serostatus | | | |
| HIV negative | 21 (34.4) | 91 (53.5) | 33 (50.0) |
| HIV positive | 25 (41.0) | 66 (38.8) | 16 (24.2) |
| Never been tested | 15 (24.6) | 13 (7.6) | 17 (25.8) |

Abbreviations: HIV, human immunodeficiency virus

For “other” categories:

- sexual orientation: cisgender sexual minority people (demisexual & queer, $n=1$; not applicable, $n=3$; no label, $n=1$) and gender minority people (demisexual & panromantic, $n=1$; other, $n=1$)
- gender identity: gender minority people (genderfluid, $n=1$; genderqueer & nonbinary, $n=1$; not noted, $n=2$; other, $n=3$)
- race: cisgender, heterosexual people (Hispanic, $n=1$; Italian American, $n=1$; multiracial, $n=2$), cisgender sexual minority people (Armenian, $n=1$; Hispanic, $n=3$; Latino & Afro-American, $n=1$; mestiza, $n=1$; mixed, $n=3$; not applicable, $n=2$; not noted, $n=1$; other, $n=1$; Spanish & White, $n=1$), gender minority people (biracial, $n=1$; American Indian & Caucasian, $n=1$; Jewish, $n=2$; not noted, $n=1$)

circumstances, including physical health, mental health, financial stability, meeting basic needs, and feelings of social connectedness, have significantly changed for the LGBTQ+ community. Overall, these outcomes have worsened for gender minority people at a higher rate than for cisgender, heterosexual people and even cisgender sexual minority

people.

Regarding physical functioning, over half of gender minority people and one-third of cisgender sexual minority people reported worsening physical health. These findings are similar to data by [Heslin and Hall \(2021\)](#), who found that sexual minority people have higher prevalences of many underlying conditions associated with severe COVID-related illnesses than heterosexual people. These increased risks for the LGBTQ+ population likely stem from reports of stigmatizing experiences in healthcare and lack of provider knowledge ([Krause, 2021](#)). Another important consideration is the close living proximity of dense marginalized communities, which can increase risk for infections ([Cahill et al., 2020](#)) and subsequent poorer physical health ([Chatterjee et al., 2020](#)).

Considering mental functioning, over three-fourths of gender minority people and two-thirds of cisgender sexual minority people disclosed worsening mental health. The pandemic has resulted in fear, social isolation, and loneliness as well as a lack of access to community groups, all of which can worsen anxiety, depression, and suicidality for the LGBTQ+ population ([Holloway et al., 2021](#); [Pedrosa et al., 2020](#)). These findings parallel those by [Flentje and colleagues \(2020\)](#), who noted increased anxiety and depression among sexual and gender minority people after the onset of the COVID pandemic. Similarly, [Gonzales et al. \(2020\)](#) found that 60% of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) college students reported experiencing anxiety and depression during the pandemic. However, neither of those studies provided comparison samples of cisgender, heterosexual people, over half (in this study) of whose mental health has also worsened due to COVID.

With respect to financials, nearly two-thirds of gender minority people and over one-third of cisgender sexual minority people conveyed worsening financial stability. Equivalently, [Sears and colleagues \(2021\)](#) found that during the pandemic many more LGBT adults (12.4%) than non-LGBT adults (9.7%) were laid off or furloughed from work. Perhaps these findings are partially a function of occupational differences ([Cahill et al., 2020](#)) and consequent disproportionate COVID-induced job loss, as 40% of lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer or questioning (LGBTQ) people work in service-related industries compared to 22% of non-LGBTQ people ([Salerno et al., 2020](#)).

Taking into account the ability to meet basic needs, nearly one-third of gender minority people and one-fourth of cisgender sexual minority people endorsed worsening outcome. Other studies have shown similar rates of the community reporting issues paying for basic household goods and rent or mortgage ([Sears et al., 2021](#)) as well as utilities, medical care, credit card bills, loans, and other debt ([Movement Advancement Project, 2020](#)) since the pandemic began. While the community was previously disproportionately impacted by poverty, lack of insurance, and unemployment ([Phillips II et al., 2020](#)), the COVID pandemic seems to have further exacerbated the population's ability to obtain basic necessities.

With reference to social affinity, well over three-fourths of gender minority people and slightly more than three-fourths of cisgender sexual minority people expressed worsening social connectedness. A review by [Drabble and Eliason \(2021\)](#) concluded that community is an important support system for LGBTQ+ people, especially for those who have been social distancing with families of origin. In addition, there is evidence that governments around the world have used COVID restrictions to target LGBTQ+ people with forms of discrimination and violence ([Wallach et al., 2020](#)). This COVID-induced isolation and distress, which has been perpetuated by institutional prejudice, appears to be contributing to a fragmentation of the LGBTQ+ community.

4.1. Limitations

There are several noteworthy study limitations. First, data collection was limited to a Midwestern state. Second, while this study conducted group comparisons to elucidate subgroup differences, cisgender sexual

Table 2

Group differences on COVID-impacted outcomes within the LGBTQ+ community

| | % indicating that life circumstances have “gotten worse” since the COVID pandemic | | | | |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------|---------|
| | Cisgender, heterosexual people | Cisgender sexual minority people | Gender minority people | F(2,244) | p-value |
| Physical health | 23.3 | 33.5 | 51.4 | 4.003 | 0.019 |
| Mental health | 53.3 | 67.6 | 75.7 | 2.772 | 0.065 |
| Financial stability | 34.5 | 37.9 | 62.5 | 5.882 | 0.003 |
| Meeting basic needs | 18.6 | 23.0 | 32.4 | 3.317 | 0.038 |
| Social connectedness | 67.2 | 77.8 | 86.3 | 3.310 | 0.038 |

Abbreviation: LGBTQ+, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and other sexual and gender minorities

people and gender minority people are heterogeneous populations and may not represent these communities in their entirety. Third, sample sizes limited further subgrouping based on sexual orientation and/or gender identity (e.g., bisexual, pansexual, queer, nonbinary, and genderqueer people). Fourth, although this study polled several Black/African American people and people living with HIV and race and HIV serostatus were significant variables for particular study outcomes (data not shown), these important differences and the salience of intersectionality for LGBTQ+ healthcare disparities were not explored comprehensively. Additionally, many other racial and/or ethnic minority people, who have also been profoundly impacted by COVID, were not represented in this study.

4.2. Conclusion

The COVID pandemic has contributed to a worsening of life circumstances, including physical health, mental health, financial stability, meeting basic needs, and feelings of social connectedness, among the LGBTQ+ community. Moreover, there are specific subgroup differences, with gender minority people facing poorer health, social, and occupational areas of functioning. These findings call for action for more research to understand how the LGBTQ+ population and especially its subgroup communities are impacted during this and future eras of public health crises. Such work would lead to more proactive, equitable, culturally-focused responses and interventions.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Dustin Z. Nowaskie: Formal analysis, Supervision, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Visualization. **Anna C. Roesler:** Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Visualization.

Declarations of Competing Interest

There are no financial, personal, nor other relationships to acknowledge.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the LGBTQ+ community organizations involved in data collection as well as the Damien Center for supporting and providing this data.

References

- Alencar Albuquerque, G., de Lima Garcia, C., da Silva Quirino, G., Alves, M.J., Belem, J. M., dos Santos Figueiredo, F.W., et al., 2016. Access to health services by lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender persons: systemic literature review. *BMC Int. Heal. Hum. Rights* 16 (2). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12914-015-0072-9>.

- Boehmer, U., 2002. Twenty years of public health research: inclusion of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender populations. *Am. J. Publ. Heal.* 92 (7), 1125–1130. <https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.92.7.1125>.
- Cahill, S., Grasso, C., Keuroghlian, A., Sciortino, C., Mayer, K., 2020. Sexual and gender minority health in the COVID-19 pandemic: why data collection and combatting discrimination matter now more than ever. *Am. J. Publ. Heal.* 110 (9), 1360–1361. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2020.305829>.
- CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2022. COVID data tracker. <https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#datatracker-home> (accessed 6 January 2022).
- Chatterjee, S., Biswas, P., Guria, R.T., 2020. LGBTQ care at the time of COVID-19. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr.* 14 (6), 1757–1758. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2020.09.001>.
- Drabble, L.A., Eliason, M.J., 2021. Introduction to special issue: impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on LGBTQ+ health and well-being. *J. Homosex* 68 (4), 545–559. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2020.1868182>.
- Flehtje, A., Obedin-Maliver, J., Lubensky, M.E., Dastur, Z., Neilands, T., Lunn, M.R., 2020. Depression and anxiety changes among sexual and gender minority people coinciding with onset of COVID-19 pandemic. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* 35 (9), 2788–2790. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-020-05970-4>.
- Gonzales, G., Loret de Mola, E., Gavulic, K.A., McKay, T., Purcell, C., 2020. Mental health needs among lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender college students during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J. Adolesc. Heal.* 67 (5), 645–648. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.08.006>.
- Gorczyński, P., Fasoli, F., 2020. LGBTQ+ focused mental health research strategy in response to COVID-19. *Lancet Psych.* 7 (8), e56. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(20\)30300-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30300-X).
- Hafeez, H., Zeshan, M., Tahir, M.A., Jahan, N., Naveed, S., 2017. Health care disparities among lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth. A literature rev.. *Cureus* 9 (4), e1184. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.1184>.
- Heslin, K.C., Hall, J.E., 2021. Sexual Orientation Disparities in Risk Factors For Adverse COVID-19-related outcomes, By Race/Ethnicity – Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 70. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* United States, pp. 149–154. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7005a1>, 2017–2019.
- Holloway, I.W., Garner, A., Tan, D., Ochoa, A.M., Santos, G.M., Howell, S., 2021. Associations between physical distancing and mental health, sexual health and technology use among gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J. Homosex* 68 (4), 692–708. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2020.1868191>.
- Holmes, E.A., O'Connor, R.C., Perry, V.H., Tracey, I., Wessely, S., Arseneault, L., et al., 2020. Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science. *Lancet Psych.* 7 (6), 547–560. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(20\)30168-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30168-1).
- Jones, J.M., 2021. LGBT identification rises to 5.6% in latest U.S. estimate. <https://news.gallup.com/poll/329708/lgbt-identification-rises-latest-estimate.aspx> (accessed 1 March 2021).
- Kidd, J.D., Jackman, K.B., Barucco, R., Dworkin, J.D., Dolezal, C., Navalta, T.V., et al., 2021. Understanding the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of transgender and gender nonbinary individuals engaged in a longitudinal cohort study. *J. Homosex* 68 (4), 592–611. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2020.1868185>.
- Kline, N.S., 2020. Rethinking COVID-19 vulnerability: a call for LGBTQ+ im/migrant health equity in the United States during and after a pandemic. *Heal. Equity* 4 (1), 239–242. <https://doi.org/10.1089/heq.2020.0012>.
- Krause, K.D., 2021. Implications of the COVID-19 pandemic on LGBTQ Communities. *J. Publ. Heal. Manag. Pract.* 27 (S1), S69–S71. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PHH.0000000000001273>.
- Kumar, N., Janmohamed, K., Nyhan, K., Forastiere, L., Zhang, W.H., Kagesten, A., et al., 2021. Sexual health and COVID-19: protocol for a scoping review. *Syst. Rev.* 10 (1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01591-y>.
- Movement Advancement Project, 2020. The disproportionate impacts of COVID-19 on LGBTQ households in the U.S. <https://www.lgbtmap.org/file/2020-covid-lgbtq-households-report.pdf> (accessed 1 March 2021).
- Ortiz-Martinez, Y., Rios-Gonzalez, C.M., 2017. Need for more research on and health interventions for transgender people. *Sex Heal.* 14 (2), 196–197. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SH16148>.
- Pedrosa, A.L., Bitencourt, L., Froes, A.C.F., Cazumba, M.L.B., Campos, R.G.B., de Brito, S. B.C.S., et al., 2020. Emotional, behavioral, and psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front Psychol.* 11, 566212. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.566212>.
- Phillips II, G., Felt, D., Ruprecht, M.M., Wang, X., Xu, J., Perez-Bill, E., et al., 2020. Addressing the disproportionate impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on sexual and

- gender minority populations in the United States: actions toward equity. *LGBT Heal.* 7 (6), 279–282. <https://doi.org/10.1089/lgbt.2020.0187>.
- Sachdeva, I., Aithal, S., Yu, W., Toor, P., Tan, J.C.H., 2021. The disparities faced by the LGBTQ+ community in the times of COVID-19. *Psych. Res.* 297, 113725 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113725>.
- Salerno, J.P., Williams, N.D., Gattamorta, K.A., 2020. LGBTQ populations: psychologically vulnerable communities in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychol. Trauma*. 12 (S1), S239–S242. <https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000837>.
- SAMHSA: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2020. 2019 national survey on drug use and health: lesbian, gay, and bisexual (LGB) adults. 2022 <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/report/2019-nsduh-lesbian-gay-bisexual-lgb-adults> (accessed 6 January 2022).
- Santos, G.M., Ackerman, B., Rao, A., Wallach, S., Ayala, G., Lamontage, E., et al., 2021. Economic, mental health, HIV prevention and HIV treatment impacts of COVID-19 and the COVID-19 response on a global sample of cisgender gay men and other men who have sex with men. *AIDS Behav.* 25 (2), 311–321. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-020-02969-0>.
- Sears, B., Conron, K.J., Flores, A.R., 2021. The impact of the fall 2020 COVID-19 surge on LGBT adults in the US. <https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/publications/covid-surge-lgbt/> (accessed 1 March 2021).
- Tesoriero, J.M., Swain, C.E., Pierce, J.L., Zamboni, L., Wu, M., Holtgrave, D.R., et al., 2021. COVID-19 outcomes among persons living with or without diagnosed HIV infection in New York state. *JAMA Netw. Open* 4 (2), e2037069. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.37069>.
- Wallach, S., Garner, A., Howell, S., Adamson, T., Baral, S., Beyrer, C., 2020. Address exacerbated health disparities and risks to LGBTQ+ individuals during COVID-19. *Heal. Hum. Rights* 22 (2), 313–316.



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.